# CAH3862: ORAL HISTORY AND FIELD STUDY

**Effective Term** Semester A 2022/23

# Part I Course Overview

**Course Title** Oral History and Field Study

Subject Code CAH - Chinese and History Course Number 3862

Academic Unit Chinese and History (CAH)

**College/School** College of Liberal Arts and Social Sciences (CH)

**Course Duration** One Semester

Credit Units

Level B1, B2, B3, B4 - Bachelor's Degree

Medium of Instruction Chinese

Medium of Assessment Chinese

Prerequisites

Nil

Precursors Nil

**Equivalent Courses** Nil

Exclusive Courses Nil

# Part II Course Details

# Abstract

This course explores the ethics, politics, and practices of oral history and, in general, fieldwork as an important research methodology. Through introducing the importance of oral history in historical and ethnographic studies, it engages closely

with discussions and debates about field study, its ethical norms, and issues about memory and representation. By using multimedia tools, it will also focus on the practices of oral history and field study, including training on how to conduct ethnographic observations and oral interviews, how to transcribe interview reports and fieldnotes, how to assess and contextualize the field data, and what the products of fieldwork are. Students will not only acquire skills for conducting oral history and field research, but also develop a cultural understanding of the informants, locales, and historical objects.

|   | CILOs  | Weighting (if app.) | DEC-A1 | DEC-A2 | DEC-A3 |
|---|--|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1 | Demonstrate a solid understanding of the key<br>concepts and methodologies used in oral history<br>and field study | 20                  | Х      |        |        |
| 2 | Demonstrate fundamental skills to place oral history in historical and ethnographic studies                        | 20                  |        | X      |        |
| 3 | Develop a cultural understanding of the people<br>and place under study based on critical insights                 | 20                  |        |        | X      |
| 4 | Assess the complexity and context of the information acquired from interviews                                      | 20                  | X      | X      |        |
| 5 | Apply scholarly oral and written skills to<br>produce original materials from fieldwork<br>practice                | 20                  |        | x      | x      |

#### Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)

#### A1: Attitude

Develop an attitude of discovery/innovation/creativity, as demonstrated by students possessing a strong sense of curiosity, asking questions actively, challenging assumptions or engaging in inquiry together with teachers.

#### A2: Ability

Develop the ability/skill needed to discover/innovate/create, as demonstrated by students possessing critical thinking skills to assess ideas, acquiring research skills, synthesizing knowledge across disciplines or applying academic knowledge to real-life problems.

#### A3: Accomplishments

Demonstrate accomplishment of discovery/innovation/creativity through producing /constructing creative works/new artefacts, effective solutions to real-life problems or new processes.

|   | TLAs | Brief Description  | CILO No. | Hours/week (if<br>applicable) |
|---|------|--|----------|-------------------------------|
| 1 |      | In order to promote active<br>learning and encourage<br>new discovery, besides<br>normal lectures, students<br>will be engaged in in-<br>class group activities, e.g.<br>group discussions, simple<br>quizzes and debates. |          |                               |

#### Teaching and Learning Activities (TLAs)

| 2 | Reading and Weekly<br>Discussion Question | Based on reading books<br>and articles related<br>to the topic, students<br>are expected to raise<br>questions for class<br>discussion. On each day<br>that the class meets, each<br>student will post an open-  | 2, 3, 4       |  |
|---|---|--|---------------|--|
|   |   | ended question online<br>prior to the class. These<br>questions collected will<br>be addressed in class or<br>during tutorials. Students<br>should read all of the<br>discussion questions and<br>be prepared to engage in<br>active discussion.   |               |  |
| 3 | Tutorial Presentation and<br>Discussion   | All tutorials are topic-<br>oriented. There will<br>be sufficient teacher-<br>learner interaction<br>and peer discussion.<br>Group presentations<br>and discussions will<br>be conducted during<br>tutorials. Students are<br>expected to study the<br>assigned readings in<br>advance, and all will<br>take turns to be the<br>presenter and lead the<br>discussion throughout<br>the course. Students'<br>analytical skills and<br>their understandings of<br>assigned readings will<br>be assessed. Students<br>assigned as discussants<br>should actively take part<br>in discussions right after<br>the presentation. | 1, 2, 3, 4    |  |
| 4 | Interviews and Interview<br>Reports       | Students have to conduct<br>two interviews with a<br>topical focus of their own<br>choosing and submit the<br>interview reports during<br>the semester.  | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 |  |

| 5 | Final Reflection Paper | Students have to finish    | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 |  |
|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|--|
|   |                        | a final reflection paper   |               |  |
|   |                        | in order to demonstrate    |               |  |
|   |                        | what they have learned     |               |  |
|   |                        | both in classes and during |               |  |
|   |                        | interviews and be able     |               |  |
|   |                        | to analyse the social/     |               |  |
|   |                        | cultural contexts based    |               |  |
|   |                        | on their interview and     |               |  |
|   |                        | field experience.          |               |  |

# Assessment Tasks / Activities (ATs)

|   | ATs   | CILO No. | Weighting (%) | Remarks (e.g. Parameter<br>for GenAI use) |
|---|---|----------|---------------|---|
| 1 | Class participation and<br>performance: Students<br>should be able to ask<br>critical questions, bring<br>in relevant knowledge,<br>move the discussion<br>along, and identify<br>key issues. Students<br>should use appropriate<br>terminology and are<br>expected to indicate<br>gaps or extensions to the<br>topics. Students need<br>to report to the whole<br>class on a small-group<br>discussion. They need<br>to summarise the course<br>contents in lectures and<br>make comments about<br>the readings and group<br>discussions in tutorials. | 1, 2     | 10            |   |
| 2 | Presentation: Based<br>on class readings and<br>lectures, students need to<br>develop their own points<br>and express their ideas<br>in a creative and fluent<br>manner. They are also<br>encouraged to bring in<br>relevant academic and<br>empirical knowledge.   | 1, 2, 3  | 20            |   |

| 3 | Oral History and<br>Ethnographic Report<br>(around 3000 words):<br>Students have to conduct<br>ethnographic and oral<br>history interviews with<br>two Hong Kong people<br>with a topical focus<br>that we will decide<br>in the course. They<br>should finish the report<br>rigorously based on<br>their field observation<br>and knowledge of<br>oral history and other<br>related ethnographic<br>research methods in<br>order to produce original<br>materials. | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 | 40 |  |
|---|---|---------------|----|--|
| 4 | Final Reflection Paper<br>(around 3000 words):<br>Students are required<br>to write a reflection<br>paper by the end of<br>the semester based on<br>the out-of-classroom<br>interview as well as<br>class materials. They are<br>expected to construct<br>a reflection narrative<br>based on the methods and<br>theories of oral history.   | 2, 3, 4, 5    | 30 |  |

#### Continuous Assessment (%)

100

Examination (%)

0

#### Assessment Rubrics (AR)

#### Assessment Task

Class participation and performance

#### Criterion

Students actively participate in discussions, debates and other class activities in tutorials and lectures (including submitting weekly open-ended questions). They should demonstrate an ability to interpret and criticize both insightfully and innovatively.

# Excellent (A+, A, A-)

Strong evidence of:

- Active in-class participation, positive listening, able to stimulate class discussion and comment on other points. - Sufficient pre-class preparation and familiarity with peer feedback and other materials.

Good (B+, B, B-) Some evidence of : - Active in-class participation, positive listening, able to initiate class discussion and comment on other points.

- Sufficient pre-class preparation and familiarity with peer feedback and other materials.

# Fair (C+, C, C-)

Limited evidence of :

- Active in-class participation, listening comprehension, able to participate in class discussion and comment on other points.

- Sufficient pre-class preparation and familiarity with peer feedback and other materials.

# Marginal (D)

Marginally satisfies the basic requirements of the participation.

# Failure (F)

Fail to meet minimum requirements of participation

# Assessment Task

Presentation

# Criterion

This assessment will grade on content and fluency of presentation as well as the organisation and coherence of the assignment. The group delivering the presentation must have thoroughly studied and researched their topic and worked as a team on the collection, reading, selection, integration, analysis of the resources. They should lead classmates into the discussion, explain with in-depth or extensive knowledge of the subject matter, present rich content and show excellent grasp of the materials. They should demonstrate rigorous organization, coherent structure, balanced composition and an ability to criticize and analyse with cogent arguments and creative comments.

# Excellent (A+, A, A-)

Strong evidence of:

- Rich content, excellent grasp of the materials with in-depth or extensive knowledge of the subject matter;
- Rigorous organization, coherent structure, balanced composition;
- Critical analysis, convincing statement and creative comment;
- Superior presentation skills: distinct pronunciation, fluent expression and appropriate diction, exact time-management

# Good (B+, B, B-)

Some evidence of:

- Rich content, excellent grasp of the materials with in-depth or extensive knowledge of the subject matter;
- Rigorous organization, coherent structure, balanced composition;
- Critical analysis, convincing statement and creative comment;
- Superior presentation skills: distinct pronunciation, fluent expression and appropriate diction, exact time-management.

# Fair (C+, C, C-)

Limited evidence of:

- Rich content, excellent grasp of the materials with in-depth or extensive knowledge of the subject matter;
- Rigorous organization, coherent structure, balanced composition;
- Critical analysis, convincing statement and creative comment;
- Superior presentation skills: distinct pronunciation, fluent expression and appropriate diction, exact time-management.

# Marginal (D)

- Loose organization, but acceptable identified content.
- Adequate understanding of the reading and indication of grasp of the general ideas, limited or irrelevant use of reading materials;
- Simple and unilateral comments, without clear explanation;
- Acceptable pronunciation and expression; few of mistakes in diction, but no influence to general delivery.

#### Failure (F)

- Limited familiarity with the facts of the reading and its surface relations, unsystematic ideas which cannot express the subject matter or relevant themes;

- Loose organization, without distinct primary and secondary structure;
- Devoid of personal comment and/or unreasonable opinion;
- Overly soft voice, indistinct pronunciation and improper diction, seriously over time.

#### Assessment Task

Oral History and Ethnographic report

#### Criterion

This assessment will grade on content, organization and fluency. Students should demonstrate the ability to utilize primary and secondary sources properly, deliver argument and analyse critically, apply research methods skilfully, as well as making a conclusion in a convincing and creative manner.

# Excellent (A+, A, A-)

Strong evidence of :

- Rich content, ability to integrate various resources into primary and secondary levels based on demand;
- Rigorous organization, coherent structure, systematic composition;
- Creative and insightful ideas
- Able to interpret the opinions originally;
- Sufficient and organized references which can be utilized in accordance with the topic.

- Exact and fluent expression, good sense of context, able to use various research methods and writing skills to make the paper convincing with proper diction.

# Good (B+, B, B-)

Some evidence of :

- Rich content, ability to integrate various resources into primary and secondary levels based on demand;
- Rigorous organization, coherent structure, systematic composition;
- Creative and insightful ideas
- Able to interpret the opinions originally;
- Sufficient and organized references which can be utilized in accordance with the topic.

- Exact and fluent expression, good sense of context, able to use various writing skills to make the paper convincing with proper diction.

# Fair (C+, C, C-)

Limited evidence of :

- Rich content, ability to integrate various resources into primary and secondary levels based on demand;
- Rigorous organization, coherent structure, systematic composition;
- Creative and insightful ideas
- Able to interpret the opinions originally;
- Sufficient and organized references which can be utilized in accordance with the topic.

- Exact and fluent expression, good sense of context, able to use various writing skills to make the paper convincing with proper diction.

# Marginal (D)

- Adequate content, ability to integrate resources generally based on demand, limited or irrelevant use of resources;

- Loose organization;
- Able to express relevant points to the subject matter;
- References are insufficient, ability to provide some reasonable personal comments, but no clear demonstration;
- Sentence fluency and diction is acceptable.

# Failure (F)

- Vague and devoid of content, weak ability to integrate limited resources;

#### - Loose organization, without distinct primary and secondary levels;

- Unsystematic ideas which cannot express the subject matter or relevant themes;
- Summary of references, no personal idea and/or unreasonable comment;
- Seriously insufficient/no reference;
- Although expression is not clear, part of the idea can be identified; over- use of existing quotations and relevant research.

#### Assessment Task

Final Reflection Paper

# Criterion

This assessment will grade on content, organization and fluency. Students are expected to reflect on out-of-classroom interviews and make connection with class materials. Students should demonstrate the ability to utilize primary and secondary sources properly, analyse critically, apply research methods skilfully, deliver argument and conclude in a convincing and creative manner.

# Excellent (A+, A, A-)

Strong evidence of :

- Rich content, ability to integrate various resources into primary and secondary levels based on demand;
- Rigorous organization, coherent structure, systematic composition;
- Creative and insightful ideas
- Able to interpret the opinions originally;
- Sufficient and organized references which can be utilized in accordance with the topic.

- Exact and fluent expression, good sense of context, able to use various research methods and writing skills to make the paper convincing with proper diction.

# Good (B+, B, B-)

Some evidence of :

- Rich content, ability to integrate various resources into primary and secondary levels based on demand;
- Rigorous organization, coherent structure, systematic composition;
- Creative and insightful ideas
- Able to interpret the opinions originally;
- Sufficient and organized references which can be utilized in accordance with the topic.

- Exact and fluent expression, good sense of context, able to use various writing skills to make the paper convincing with proper diction.

# Fair (C+, C, C-)

Limited evidence of :

- Rich content, ability to integrate various resources into primary and secondary levels based on demand;
- Rigorous organization, coherent structure, systematic composition;
- Creative and insightful ideas
- Able to interpret the opinions originally;
- Sufficient and organized references which can be utilized in accordance with the topic.

- Exact and fluent expression, good sense of context, able to use various writing skills to make the paper convincing with proper diction.

# Marginal (D)

- Adequate content, ability to integrate resources generally based on demand, limited or irrelevant use of resources;

- Loose organization;
- Able to express relevant points to the subject matter;
- References are insufficient, ability to provide some reasonable personal comments, but no clear demonstration;
- Sentence fluency and diction is acceptable.

# Failure (F)

- Vague and devoid of content, weak ability to integrate limited resources;

- Loose organization, without distinct primary and secondary levels;
- Unsystematic ideas which cannot express the subject matter or relevant themes;
- Summary of references, no personal idea and/or unreasonable comment;
- Seriously insufficient/no reference;
- Although expression is not clear, part of the idea can be identified; over- use of existing quotations and relevant research.

# Part III Other Information

#### **Keyword Syllabus**

Oral history, fieldwork, ethnography, interview, qualitative research, memory, representation, narrative, fieldnote and interview report, listening, speaking, writing, participant observation, social skills, multimedia, audio and visual record, transcribe, history, anthropology, autobiography, ethical norms, community engagement

#### **Reading List**

#### **Compulsory Readings**

|   | Title   |
|---|---|
| 1 | 當代上海研究所編:《口述歷史的理論與實務》,上海:上海人民出版社,2007。  |
| 2 | 張慧真:《從十一萬到三千:淪陷時期香港教育口述歷史》,香港:牛津大學出版社,2005。   |
| 3 | 罗伯特埃默森、雷切尔弗雷兹、琳达肖:《如何做田野笔记》,上海:上海译文出版社,2012。  |
| 4 | Ritchie, Donald. 2015. Doing Oral History (3rd Edition). Oxford: Oxford University Press. |

#### **Additional Readings**

|    | Title  |
|----|--|
| 1  | Perks, Robert and Alistair Thomson (eds.). 2006. The Oral History Reader. London: Routledge.   |
| 2  | Leavy, Patricia. 2011. Oral History: Understanding Qualitative Research, Oxford: Oxford University Press.  |
| 3  | Tracy, Sarah. 2013. Qualitative Research Methods: Collecting Evidence, Crafting Analysis, Communicating Impact.<br>Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.  |
| 4  | Paula Hamition and Linda Shopes (eds.). 2003. Oral History and Public Memories. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.   |
| 5  | Kim, Jeong-Hee. 2015. Understanding Narrative Inquiry: The Crafting and Analysis of Stories as Research. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.   |
| 6  | Rossman, Gretchen B. and Sharon F. Rallis. 2017. Learning in the Field: An Introduction to Qualitative Research (4th Edition). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.   |
| 7  | Angrosino, Michael V. 2008. Exploring Oral History: A Window on the Past. Long Grove, IL: Waveland.  |
| 8  | Kah-seng Loh et al. (eds.). 2013. Oral History in Southeast Asia: Memories and Fragments, New York: Palgrave,  |
| 9  | Crapanzano, Vincent. 1977. "The Life History in Anthropological Field Work." Anthropology and Humanism 2(2-3): 3-7.  |
| 10 | Oral History Association. 2009. "Principles for Oral History and Best Practices for Oral History." <a href="http://www.oralhistory.org/do-oral-history/principles-and-practices">http://www.oralhistory.org/do-oral-history/principles-and-practices</a> |