

# FB6874: INNOVATION INTERNSHIP 2

---

## Effective Term

Semester A 2025/26

## Part I Course Overview

### Course Title

Innovation Internship 2

### Subject Code

FB - College of Business (FB)

### Course Number

6874

### Academic Unit

College of Business (CB)

### College/School

College of Business (CB)

### Course Duration

Non-standard Duration

### Other Course Duration

4 Weeks

### Credit Units

2

### Level

P5, P6 - Postgraduate Degree

### Medium of Instruction

English

### Medium of Assessment

English

### Prerequisites

Nil

### Precursors

Nil

### Equivalent Courses

Nil

### Exclusive Courses

Nil

## Part II Course Details

### Abstract

The Internship aims to provide students, who have solid work experience in old economy, with new experience in working for companies well known for their business innovation and/or transformation. These companies may be unicorn companies, start-ups funded by venture capitals or companies with young people as their CEOs. Duration for the internship is around 4 weeks.

### Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)

CILOs	Weighting (if app.)	DEC-A1	DEC-A2	DEC-A3
1	Communicate an in-depth and practical understanding of how an innovative company operates.	x	x	x
2	Demonstrate the ability to integrate and apply knowledge and skills developed in various core and foundation courses to real-world situation of an organization with focus on innovation.	x	x	x
3	Demonstrate the ability and self-initiative to contribute to process of business innovation.	x	x	x

#### A1: Attitude

Develop an attitude of discovery/innovation/creativity, as demonstrated by students possessing a strong sense of curiosity, asking questions actively, challenging assumptions or engaging in inquiry together with teachers.

#### A2: Ability

Develop the ability/skill needed to discover/innovate/create, as demonstrated by students possessing critical thinking skills to assess ideas, acquiring research skills, synthesizing knowledge across disciplines or applying academic knowledge to real-life problems.

#### A3: Accomplishments

Demonstrate accomplishment of discovery/innovation/creativity through producing /constructing creative works/new artefacts, effective solutions to real-life problems or new processes.

### Learning and Teaching Activities (LTAs)

LTAs	Brief Description	CILO No.	Hours/week (if applicable)
1	Internship	Students will engage in practical work experience at an external organization or company related to their field of study, gaining hands-on skills and industry exposure.	1, 2, 3

### Assessment Tasks / Activities (ATs)

ATs	CILO No.	Weighting (%)	Remarks (e.g. Parameter for GenAI use)
1	Pre-internship Report	1, 2, 3	10
2	Company Evaluation	1, 2, 3	10
3	Post-internship Report	1, 2, 3	80

**Continuous Assessment (%)**

100

**Assessment Rubrics (AR)**

**Assessment Task**

1. Assignments (for students admitted before Semester A 2022/23 and in Semester A 2024/25 & thereafter)

**Criterion**

Assess students' ability to demonstrate a sound knowledge of the subject matter and its future development, and how that knowledge can be applied to solve real-world problems encountered effectively and creatively.

**Excellent**

(A+, A, A-) The arguments show a higher degree of originality and internalization to form a well-defined perspective on the subject matter. Strong evidence of reflection on own position based on a comprehensive understanding of principles/ conceptual framework and the contemporary context.

**Good**

(B+, B, B-) The arguments demonstrate a good appreciation of the subject matter, principles/ conceptual framework and the contemporary context with indications of reflection on own position. Some new insights and questions offered with clear evidence of learning from the course.

**Fair**

(C+, C, C-) The arguments are highly relevant and accurate with fair appreciation of the subject matter, principles/ conceptual framework and the contemporary context.

**Marginal**

(D) The arguments are relevant and accurate but isolated, addressing the subject matter only in part and lacking both a strong grounding in principles/ conceptual framework and understanding of the contemporary context. No originality, weak justification of conclusions and poorly structured.

**Failure**

(F) Little evidence of familiarity with the subject matter; Fail to submit the individual essay.

**Assessment Task**

2. Projects (for students admitted before Semester A 2022/23 and in Semester A 2024/25 & thereafter)

**Criterion**

Assess students' ability to demonstrate a sound knowledge of the subject matter and its future development, and how that knowledge can be applied to solve real-world problems encountered effectively and creatively.

**Excellent**

(A+, A, A-) The arguments show a higher degree of originality and internalization to form a well-defined perspective on the subject matter. Strong evidence of reflection on own position based on a comprehensive understanding of principles/ conceptual framework and the contemporary context.

**Good**

(B+, B, B-) The arguments demonstrate a good appreciation of the subject matter, principles/ conceptual framework and the contemporary context with indications of reflection on own position. Some new insights and questions offered with clear evidence of learning from the course.

**Fair**

(C+, C, C-) The arguments are highly relevant and accurate with fair appreciation of the subject matter, principles/ conceptual framework and the contemporary context.

### **Marginal**

(D) The arguments are relevant and accurate but isolated, addressing the subject matter only in part and lacking both a strong grounding in principles/ conceptual framework and understanding of the contemporary context. No originality, weak justification of conclusions and poorly structured.

### **Failure**

(F) Little evidence of familiarity with the subject matter; Fail to submit the individual essay.

---

### **Assessment Task**

3. Essays (for students admitted before Semester A 2022/23 and in Semester A 2024/25 & thereafter)

### **Criterion**

Assess students' ability to demonstrate a sound knowledge of the subject matter and its future development, and how that knowledge can be applied to solve real-world problems encountered effectively and creatively.

### **Excellent**

(A+, A, A-) The arguments show a higher degree of originality and internalization to form a well-defined perspective on the subject matter. Strong evidence of reflection on own position based on a comprehensive understanding of principles/ conceptual framework and the contemporary context.

### **Good**

(B+, B, B-) The arguments demonstrate a good appreciation of the subject matter, principles/ conceptual framework and the contemporary context with indications of reflection on own position. Some new insights and questions offered with clear evidence of learning from the course.

### **Fair**

(C+, C, C-) The arguments are highly relevant and accurate with fair appreciation of the subject matter, principles/ conceptual framework and the contemporary context.

### **Marginal**

(D) The arguments are relevant and accurate but isolated, addressing the subject matter only in part and lacking both a strong grounding in principles/ conceptual framework and understanding of the contemporary context. No originality, weak justification of conclusions and poorly structured.

### **Failure**

(F) Little evidence of familiarity with the subject matter; Fail to submit the individual essay.

---

### **Assessment Task**

1. Assignments (for students admitted from Semester A 2022/23 to Summer Term 2024)

### **Criterion**

Assess students' ability to demonstrate a sound knowledge of the subject matter and its future development, and how that knowledge can be applied to solve real-world problems encountered effectively and creatively.

### **Excellent**

(A+, A, A-) The arguments show a higher degree of originality and internalization to form a well-defined perspective on the subject matter. Strong evidence of reflection on own position based on a comprehensive understanding of principles/ conceptual framework and the contemporary context.

### **Good**

(B+, B) The arguments demonstrate a good appreciation of the subject matter, principles/ conceptual framework and the contemporary context with indications of reflection on own position. Some new insights and questions offered with clear evidence of learning from the course.

**Marginal**

(B-, C+, C) The arguments are relevant and accurate but isolated, addressing the subject matter only in part and lacking both a strong grounding in principles/ conceptual framework and understanding of the contemporary context. No originality, weak justification of conclusions and poorly structured.

**Failure**

(F) Little evidence of familiarity with the subject matter; Fail to submit the individual essay.

---

**Assessment Task**

2. Projects (for students admitted from Semester A 2022/23 to Summer Term 2024)

**Criterion**

Assess students' ability to demonstrate a sound knowledge of the subject matter and its future development, and how that knowledge can be applied to solve real-world problems encountered effectively and creatively.

**Excellent**

(A+, A, A-) The arguments show a higher degree of originality and internalization to form a well-defined perspective on the subject matter. Strong evidence of reflection on own position based on a comprehensive understanding of principles/ conceptual framework and the contemporary context.

**Good**

(B+, B) The arguments demonstrate a good appreciation of the subject matter, principles/ conceptual framework and the contemporary context with indications of reflection on own position. Some new insights and questions offered with clear evidence of learning from the course.

**Marginal**

(B-, C+, C) The arguments are relevant and accurate but isolated, addressing the subject matter only in part and lacking both a strong grounding in principles/ conceptual framework and understanding of the contemporary context. No originality, weak justification of conclusions and poorly structured.

**Failure**

(F) Little evidence of familiarity with the subject matter; Fail to submit the individual essay.

---

**Assessment Task**

3. Essays (for students admitted from Semester A 2022/23 to Summer Term 2024)

**Criterion**

Assess students' ability to demonstrate a sound knowledge of the subject matter and its future development, and how that knowledge can be applied to solve real-world problems encountered effectively and creatively.

**Excellent**

(A+, A, A-) The arguments show a higher degree of originality and internalization to form a well-defined perspective on the subject matter. Strong evidence of reflection on own position based on a comprehensive understanding of principles/ conceptual framework and the contemporary context.

**Good**

(B+, B) The arguments demonstrate a good appreciation of the subject matter, principles/ conceptual framework and the contemporary context with indications of reflection on own position. Some new insights and questions offered with clear evidence of learning from the course.

#### **Marginal**

(B-, C+, C) The arguments are relevant and accurate but isolated, addressing the subject matter only in part and lacking both a strong grounding in principles/ conceptual framework and understanding of the contemporary context. No originality, weak justification of conclusions and poorly structured.

#### **Failure**

(F) Little evidence of familiarity with the subject matter; Fail to submit the individual essay.

## **Part III Other Information**

#### **Keyword Syllabus**

Integration and application of knowledge in innovative business environments, effective communication, solving problems in innovative business situations and self-reflection.

#### **Reading List**

##### **Compulsory Readings**

<b>Title</b>	
1	NIL

##### **Additional Readings**

<b>Title</b>	
1	Nil