

**City University of Hong Kong
Course Syllabus**

**offered by School of Creative Media
with effect from Semester A 2022/23**

Part I Course Overview

Course Title:	Computer Games and Society
Course Code:	SM6332
Course Duration:	One semester
Credit Units:	3
Level:	P6
Medium of Instruction:	English
Medium of Assessment:	English
Prerequisites: <i>(Course Code and Title)</i>	Nil
Precursors: <i>(Course Code and Title)</i>	Nil
Equivalent Courses: <i>(Course Code and Title)</i>	Nil
Exclusive Courses: <i>(Course Code and Title)</i>	Nil

Part II Course Details

1. Abstract

This course aims at examining players’ and developers’ participation in game-related social activities that take place outside the formal play space. Computers games are interactive platforms requiring participants (players) who make game worlds come to life. Unlike a medium like television, a game does not requires some player input in order to unfold its structure and its content. This puts players in a unique position—as productive, learning, and collaborative users—which lends equity and opportunities to those who would take the time to improve these gaming environments.

Examples of activities examined in this course include modding, writing, moderating, organizing, practicing, competing, and socializing. The metagame, or gaming activities beyond the formal playspace, is not simply a sandbox for players. Game developers are also growing accustomed to consider the play communities—providing tools to and working with talented players—when they design their games. Especially with the popularization of new media, game designers cannot overlook the need to design for this expansive environments.

Students will read papers discussing relevant topics on various social and design features making up the metagame. Students will also be analyzing a specific metagame, e.g., an online forum or an electronic sport tournament, and write a report on their findings.

2. Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)

(CILOs state what the student is expected to be able to do at the end of the course according to a given standard of performance.)

No.	CILOs	Weighting (if applicable)	Discovery-enriched curriculum related learning outcomes (please tick where appropriate)		
			A1	A2	A3
1.	Apply the appropriate methods and techniques for the analysis of social and societal activities involving computer games		✓	✓	✓
2.	Describe the societal impact of computer games and related phenomena		✓	✓	✓
3.	Apply the appropriate theories and vocabularies for reflecting on issues pertaining to computer games and society		✓	✓	✓
		100%			

A1: *Attitude*

Develop an attitude of discovery/innovation/creativity, as demonstrated by students possessing a strong sense of curiosity, asking questions actively, challenging assumptions or engaging in inquiry together with teachers.

A2: *Ability*

Develop the ability/skill needed to discover/innovate/create, as demonstrated by students possessing critical thinking skills to assess ideas, acquiring research skills, synthesizing knowledge across disciplines or applying academic knowledge to self-life problems.

A3: *Accomplishments*

Demonstrate accomplishment of discovery/innovation/creativity through producing /constructing creative works/new artefacts, effective solutions to real-life problems or new processes.

3. Teaching and Learning Activities (TLAs)

(TLAs designed to facilitate students' achievement of the CILOs.)

TLA	Brief Description	CILO No.			Hours/week (if applicable)
		1	2	3	
Lectures	Lectures on computer games and society	✓	✓	✓	
Reflection writing	Short reflection text writing and discussion on readings		✓	✓	
Exercises	Exercises on analysing metagame phenomena	✓	✓	✓	
Presentations	Presentations on their research findings	✓	✓	✓	

4. Assessment Tasks/Activities (ATs)

(ATs are designed to assess how well the students achieve the CILOs.)

Assessment Tasks/Activities	CILO No.			Weighting	Remarks
	1	2	3		
Continuous Assessment: 100%					
Participation in in-class discussion	✓	✓	✓		
Presentations	✓	✓	✓		
Reflection texts	✓	✓	✓		
Final essay	✓	✓	✓		
Examination: 0% (duration: , if applicable)				100%	

5. Assessment Rubrics

(Grading of student achievements is based on student performance in assessment tasks/activities with the following rubrics.)

Applicable to students admitted in Semester A 2022/23 and thereafter

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent (A+, A, A-)	Good (B+, B)	Marginal (B-, C+, C)	Failure (F)
1. Participation and Performance	This assessment task reviews students' participation and performance in discussions, debates and peer critique during the tutorial sessions. The evidence of 'negotiation', the sign of discovery, lies in students' pre-class preparation and interpersonal sensitivity to his/her peer members.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Active in-class participation, positive listening, strong ability to stimulate class discussion and comment on other points In-depth pre-class preparation and familiarity with peer reports and other materials Interpret others' views with an open mind and ready to negotiate Readiness to share personal insight via analysis and synthesis with informed views Constructively critical, thus facilitating the discovery of new issues 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Active in-class participation, positive listening, ability to initiate class discussion and comment on other points Adequate pre-class preparation and familiarity with peer reports and other materials Interpret opinions effectively 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unmotivated to participate in class discussion or comment on other people's views Little pre-class preparation and familiarity with peer reports and other materials Poor ability in interpreting opinions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unwilling to participate in class discussion and comment on other points, even when requested by the teacher No pre-class preparation and familiarity with peer reports and other materials Minimal ability in interpreting opinions
2. Presentation	This assessment will grade on content and fluency of presentation. Students should show their co-operation to conduct a well-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rich, informative content, excellent grasp of the material with in-depth and extensive 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequate content with firm grasp of the material that informs the audience on a 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Weak content, loose grasp of the general ideas with some knowledge of the subject matter 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inadequate content, fail to identify the general ideas with knowledge of the subject matter

	<p>organized presentation with their own argument and evidence from readings and notes. The threshold of 'discovery' lied in a student's self initiatives to conduct additional research and to personalize theories for her/his personal daily experience.</p>	<p>knowledge of the subject matter</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rigorous organization, coherent structure, and systematic exposition with a strong sense of narrative • Superior presentation skills: distinct pronunciation, fluent expression and appropriate diction, exact time-management • Critical analysis with insightful comments opening up new issues, or suggesting the ability to theorize 	<p>subject matter</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reasonable organization, balanced structure and composition • Good verbal communication: comprehensible pronunciation, fluent expression and diction, fair time-management 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poor organization, structure and composition • Poor presentation skills: marginal pronunciation, expression and diction, poor time-management 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No organization, structure or/and composition • Poor presentation skills: marginal pronunciation, expression and diction, minimal time-management
<p>3. Short Paper AND 4. Final Essay</p>	<p>Students should demonstrate ability to utilize primary and secondary sources, and to construct a well-organized argument and analysis. The threshold of 'discovery' lied in a student's self initiatives to conduct additional research and to personalize theories for her/his personal experience.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Excellent grasp of research material, able to explain key concepts, assumptions and debates • Rigorous organization, coherent structure, distinct thesis, properly argued with strong narrative • Insightful interpretation of the subject matter with distinct 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Firm grasp of materials, able to explain key concepts and assumptions • Reasonable organization, balanced structure, adequate content, sufficient ability to integrate various resources based on demand • Clear ideas which keep to the point, clear-cut subject, ability to 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Loose grasp of materials, cannot explain key concepts • Poor organization and structure, weak content, limited use of resources • Relevant points to the subject matter, marginal ability to interpret opinions • Insufficient and/or unorganized bibliography 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poor grasp of materials • No organization and structure, inadequate content, no/ irrelevant use of resources • Irrelevant points to the subject matter, minimal ability to interpret opinions • Irrelevant bibliography

		themes and thesis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Critical analysis with insightful comments opening up new issues, or suggesting the ability to theorize • Ability to approach a text or a theme using a variety of theories and analytical tools • Strong bibliography suggesting breadth and depth of coverage and informed insights 	interpret opinions independently <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Organized bibliography which can be utilized in accordance with the topic 		
--	--	---	--	--	--

Applicable to students admitted before Semester A 2022/23

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent (A+, A, A-)	Good (B+, B, B-)	Fair (C+, C, C-)	Marginal (D)	Failure (F)
1. Participation and Performance	This assessment task reviews students' participation and performance in discussions, debates and peer critique during the tutorial sessions. The evidence of 'negotiation', the sign of discovery, lies in students' pre-class preparation and interpersonal sensitivity to his/her peer members.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Active in-class participation, positive listening, strong ability to stimulate class discussion and comment on other points • In-depth pre-class preparation and familiarity with peer reports and 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Active in-class participation, positive listening, ability to initiate class discussion and comment on other points • Adequate pre-class preparation and familiarity with peer reports and other materials • Interpret opinions effectively 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attentive in in-class participation, listening with comprehension, but only infrequently contributing • Adequate pre-class preparation but little familiarity with peer reports and other materials • Fair ability in 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unmotivated to participate in class discussion or comment on other people's views • Little pre-class preparation and familiarity with peer reports and other materials • Poor ability in interpreting 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unwilling to participate in class discussion and comment on other points, even when requested by the teacher • No pre-class preparation and familiarity with peer reports and other materials • Minimal

		<p>other materials</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Interpret others' views with an open mind and ready to negotiate • Readiness to share personal insight via analysis and synthesis with informed views • Constructively critical, thus facilitating the discovery of new issues 		interpreting opinions	opinions	ability in interpreting opinions
2. Presentation	<p>This assessment will grade on content and fluency of presentation. Students should show their co-operation to conduct a well-organized presentation with their own argument and evidence from readings and notes. The threshold of 'discovery' lied in a student's self initiatives to conduct additional research and to personalize theories for her/his personal daily experience.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rich, informative content, excellent grasp of the material with in-depth and extensive knowledge of the subject matter • Rigorous organization, coherent structure, and systematic exposition with a strong sense of narrative • Superior presentation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adequate content with firm grasp of the material that informs the audience on a subject matter • Reasonable organization, balanced structure and composition • Good verbal communication: comprehensible pronunciation, fluent expression and diction, fair time-management 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adequate content with comprehensive grasp of the material demonstrating basic knowledge of the subject matter • Fair organization, weak structure and composition • Fair presentation skills: acceptable pronunciation, expression and 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weak content, loose grasp of the general ideas with some knowledge of the subject matter • Poor organization, structure and composition • Poor presentation skills: marginal pronunciation, expression and diction, poor time-management 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inadequate content, fail to identify the general ideas with knowledge of the subject matter • No organization, structure or/and composition • Poor presentation skills: marginal pronunciation, expression and diction, minimal time-

		<p>skills: distinct pronunciation, fluent expression and appropriate diction, exact time-management</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Critical analysis with insightful comments opening up new issues, or suggesting the ability to theorize 		diction, fair time-management		management
3. Short Paper AND 4. Final Essay	Students should demonstrate ability to utilize primary and secondary sources, and to construct a well-organized argument and analysis. The threshold of ‘discovery’ lied in a student’s self initiatives to conduct additional research and to personalize theories for her/his personal experience.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Excellent grasp of research material, able to explain key concepts, assumptions and debates • Rigorous organization, coherent structure, distinct thesis, properly argued with strong narrative • Insightful interpretation of the subject matter with distinct 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Firm grasp of materials, able to explain key concepts and assumptions • Reasonable organization, balanced structure, adequate content, sufficient ability to integrate various resources based on demand • Clear ideas which keep to the point, clear-cut subject, ability to interpret opinions independently • Organized bibliography which can be 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comprehensive grasp of materials, able to explain key concepts • Fair organization, weak structure, adequate content, fair ability to integrate various resources based on demand • Relevant points to the subject matter, fair ability to interpret opinions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Loose grasp of materials, cannot explain key concepts • Poor organization and structure, weak content, limited use of resources • Relevant points to the subject matter, marginal ability to interpret opinions • Insufficient and/or unorganized bibliography 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poor grasp of materials • No organization and structure, inadequate content, no/irrelevant use of resources • Irrelevant points to the subject matter, minimal ability to interpret opinions • Irrelevant bibliography

		<p>themes and thesis</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Critical analysis with insightful comments opening up new issues, or suggesting the ability to theorize • Ability to approach a text or a theme using a variety of theories and analytical tools • Strong bibliography suggesting breadth and depth of coverage and informed insights 	<p>utilized in accordance with the topic</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unorganized bibliography which can be utilized in accordance with the topic 		
--	--	--	--	---	--	--

Part III Other Information (more details can be provided separately in the teaching plan)

1. Keyword Syllabus

(An indication of the key topics of the course.)

Game cultures, society, online gaming, MMOs, participatory cultures, networks, real life, everyday life, cultural studies, ethnography

2. Reading List

2.1 Compulsory Readings

(Compulsory readings can include books, book chapters, or journal/magazine articles. There are also collections of e-books, e-journals available from the CityU Library.)

1.	Benkler, Y. (2007). <i>The Wealth of Networks: How Social Production Transforms Markets and Freedom</i> . New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
2.	Burk, D. (2009). Copyright and Paratext in On-Line Gaming. In C. Wankel, & S. Malleck, <i>Emerging Ethical Issues of Life in Virtual worlds</i> . Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing.
3.	Humphreys, S. (2008). Ruling the Virtual World: Governance in Massively Multiplayer Online Games. <i>European Journal of Cultural Studies</i> , 11 (2).
4.	Ito, Mizuko, Kris Gutiérrez, Sonia Livingstone, Bill Penuel, Jean Rhodes, Katie Salen, Juliet Schor, Julian Sefton-Green, and S. Craig Watkins. (2013). <i>Connected Learning: An Agenda for Research and Design</i> . Irvine, CA: Digital Media and Learning Research Hub.
5.	Jenkins, H. (2006). <i>Fans, Bloggers, and Gamers: Media Consumers in the Digital Age</i> . New York: NYU Press.
6.	Jin, Dal Yong. (2010). <i>Korea's Online Gaming Empire</i> . Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
7.	Kow, Yong Ming, and Nardi, Bonnie. (2010). User Creativity, Governance, and the New Media. A special issue. Selected papers. <i>First Monday</i> , 15, 5. http://firstmonday.org/ojs/index.php/fm/article/view/2954/2523
8.	Nardi, B., & Harris, J. (2006). Strangers and friends: Collaborative play in World of Warcraft. <i>Proceedings Conference on Computer-supported Cooperative Work</i> (pp. 149-158). New York: ACM Press.
9.	Nardi, B., & Kow, Y. M. (2010). Digital imaginaries: How we know what we (think we) know about Chinese gold farming. <i>First Monday</i> , 15 (6). http://firstmonday.org/ojs/index.php/fm/article/view/3035/2566
10.	Nardi, B., & Kallinikos, J. (2007). Opening the Black Box of Digital Technologies: Mods in World of Warcraft. <i>23rd EGOS Colloquium</i> . Barcelona, Spain.
11.	Nardi, Bonnie. 2010. <i>My Life as a Night Elf Priest: An Anthropological Account of World of Warcraft</i> . University of Michigan Press.
12.	Postigo, H. (2008b). Of Mods and Modders: Chasing Down the Value of Fan-Based Digital Game Modifications. <i>Games and Culture</i> , 2 (4).
13.	Salen, Katie and Eric Zimmerman. (2004). <i>Rules of Play: Game Design Fundamentals</i> . Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
14.	Sotamaa, Olli (2003). <i>Computer Game Modding, Intermediality and Participatory Culture</i> . Retrieved January 13, 2010, from http://old.imv.au.dk/eng/academic/pdf_files/Sotamaa.pdf
15.	Taylor, T.L. (2006). <i>Play Between Worlds: Exploring Online Game Culture</i> . Cambridge: MIT Press.
16.	Terranova, T. (2000). Free Labor: Producing Culture for the Digital Economy. <i>Social Text</i> , 18 (2).

17.	Boellstorff, Tom, et.al. (ed.) (2012). <i>Ethnography and Virtual Worlds: A Handbook of Method</i> . Princeton: Princeton University Press.
18	Wirman, H. (2009). On Productivity and Game Fandom. <i>Transformative Works & Cultures</i> ,

2.2 Additional Readings

(Additional references for students to learn to expand their knowledge about the subject.)

1.	http://www.digra.org/dl
2.	Games & Cultural Journal (Sage Publishing)
3.	http://www.gamestudies.org