# City University of Hong Kong Course Syllabus

# offered by Department of Linguistics and Translation with effect from Semester B 2022 / 23

### Part I Course Overview

Course Title:	Capstone Project
Course Code:	LT6582
Course Duration:	One Semester, either Semester B or Summer Semester, with pre- enrolment briefings in either Semester A or Semester B
Credit Units:	3
Level:	<u>P6</u>
Medium of Instruction:	English mainly, but Chinese is allowed in situations where English-Chinese translation and interpretation or Chinese linguistic data is involved
Medium of Assessment:	English mainly, but Chinese is allowed in situations where English-Chinese translation and interpretation or Chinese linguistic data is involved
<b>Prerequisites</b> : (Course Code and Title)	Nil
<b>Precursors</b> : (Course Code and Title)	Nil
<b>Equivalent Courses</b> : (Course Code and Title)	Nil
<b>Exclusive Courses</b> : (Course Code and Title)	LT6580 Master's Project and LT6581 Project

#### Part II **Course Details**

#### 1. Abstract

This course aims to develop students' abilities to integrate the knowledge and skills acquired in the programme and present their insights in the form of a supervised group project on a topic of their choice in the broad areas of linguistics and/ or translation.

#### 2. **Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)**

(CILOs state what the student is expected to be able to do at the end of the course according to a given standard of *performance.*)

No.	CILOs	Weighting	Discov	•	
		(if	curricu	ılum rel	ated
		applicable)		g outco	
			(please	e tick	where
			approp	riate)	
			Al	A2	A3
1.	Identify a suitable topic for group research in the broad areas		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	of linguistics and/ or translation				
2.	Search, select and critically assess literature and materials		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	relevant to the subject matter of the project				
3.	Present the project with clarity, precision and cogency		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
4.	Demonstrate ability to collaborate with others in the group		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	working on the project				
5.	Reflect critically on the work in progress and communicate		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	effectively insights gained in the finished project				
		100%			

*A1*: Attitude

Develop an attitude of discovery/innovation/creativity, as demonstrated by students possessing a strong sense of curiosity, asking questions actively, challenging assumptions or engaging in inquiry together with teachers.

A2: Ability

Develop the ability/skill needed to discover/innovate/create, as demonstrated by students possessing critical thinking skills to assess ideas, acquiring research skills, synthesizing knowledge across disciplines or applying academic knowledge to self-life problems.

A3: Accomplishments

Demonstrate accomplishment of discovery/innovation/creativity through producing /constructing creative works/new artefacts, effective solutions to real-life problems or new processes.

### 3.

**Teaching and Learning Activities (TLAs)** (*TLAs designed to facilitate students' achievement of the CILOs.*)

TLA	Brief Description	CILO No.					Hours/week	
		1	2	3	4	5		(if applicable)
1	Group consultation with supervisor: face to face and through other electronic means; initial meetings to define the direction of the project; discussion of concepts; discussion of students' submitted drafts	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
2	Written drafts: group submits drafts of different parts of the project for feedback and discussion	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
3	Written project: complete version of the project		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
4	Library and online literature search	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
5	Establish timeline for the project				$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		

#### 4. Assessment Tasks/Activities (ATs)

(ATs are designed to assess how well the students achieve the CILOs.)

Assessment Tasks/Activities	ivities CILO No.				Weighting	Remarks	
	1	2	3	4	5		
Continuous Assessment: 100 %							
Written group project consisting of not more than 20,000 words excluding references. The project may be one of the following types: (i) a research project on a topic in the broad areas of linguistics and/ or translation; or (ii) extended translation with	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	~	~	$\checkmark$	90%	
scholarly commentary. Each group must submit a full project proposal (minimum: 1,000 words, excluding references) for the course.	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	10%	
Advice will be given to students in briefings by faculty in Semester A. Examination:% (duration:			, if a	appli	cable)		
						100%	

# 5. Assessment Rubrics

(Grading of student achievements is based on student performance in assessment tasks/activities with the following rubrics.)

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent (A+, A, A-)	Good (B+, B)	Marginal (B-, C+, C)	Failure (F)
Research proposal	Ability to show the potential of the topic to be developed into a written project.	High: key issues are well identified and clearly indicated.	Good: key issues are well identified.	Fair: key issues are adequately identified.	Failure: key issues are not identified.
Written project	Ability to collectively and effectively produce an extended research paper or translation by the group on a subject of intellectual interest by a critical application of the knowledge learnt in the programme	High: key issues are identified with excellent understanding and critical analysis. Research ethics are properly considered ( <i>applicable to</i> <i>projects involving</i> <i>human participants</i> ). For extended translation, the relevant translation standard has reached a high standard.	Significant: key issues are identified with good understanding and clear analysis. Research ethics are properly considered ( <i>applicable to</i> <i>projects involving</i> <i>human</i> <i>participants</i> ). For extended translation, the relevant translation standard has reached a good standard.	Moderate: key issues are identified, with basic understanding and analysis. Research ethics are properly considered ( <i>applicable to</i> <i>projects involving</i> <i>human participants</i> ). For extended translation, the relevant translation standard has reached a fair standard.	Not even reaching marginal levels: failed to identify any key issues, and nearly no analysis. Research ethics are not properly considered ( <i>applicable</i> <i>to projects involving</i> <i>human participants</i> ). For extended translation, the relevant translation standard failed to reach a passing standard.

# Applicable to students admitted in Semester A 2022/23 and thereafter

# Applicable to students admitted before Semester A 2022/23

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent (A+, A, A-)	Good (B+, B, B-)	Fair (C+, C, C-)	Marginal (D)	Failure (F)
Research proposal	Ability to show the potential of the topic to be developed into a written project.	High: key issues are well identified and clearly indicated.	Good: key issues are well identified.	Fair: key issues are adequately identified.	Marginal: key issues are marginally identified.	Failure: key issues are not identified.
Written project	Ability to collectively and effectively produce an extended research paper or translation by the group on a subject of intellectual interest by a critical application of the knowledge learnt in the programme	High: key issues are identified with excellent understanding and clear analysis. Research ethics are properly considered ( <i>applicable to</i> <i>projects involving</i> <i>human participants</i> ). For extended translation, the relevant translation standard has reached a high standard.	Significant: key issues are identified with good understanding, and clear analysis. Research ethics are properly considered ( <i>applicable to</i> <i>projects involving</i> <i>human</i> <i>participants</i> ). For extended translation, the relevant translation standard has reached a good standard.	Moderate: key issues are identified, with basic understanding and analysis. Research ethics are properly considered ( <i>applicable to</i> <i>projects involving</i> <i>human participants</i> ). For extended translation, the relevant translation standard has reached a fair standard.	Basic: key issues are identified, but with inadequate understanding and analysis. Research ethics are properly considered ( <i>applicable</i> to projects involving human participants). For extended translation, the relevant translation standard has only reached a marginal standard.	Not even reaching marginal levels: failed to identify any key issues, and nearly no analysis. Research ethics are not properly considered ( <i>applicable</i> <i>to projects involving</i> <i>human participants</i> ). For extended translation, the relevant translation standard failed to reach a passing standard.

Part III Other Information (more details can be provided separately in the teaching plan)

## 1. Keyword Syllabus

(An indication of the key topics of the course.)

There is no formal syllabus. Students are required to undertake the project individually under the supervision of a teacher. Students choose their own subject matter related to the central concerns of the programme. The project can be: (i) a research project on a topic in the broad areas of linguistics and/ or translation; or (ii) extended translation with scholarly commentary.

# 2. Reading List

## 2.1 Compulsory Readings

(Compulsory readings can include books, book chapters, or journal/magazine articles. There are also collections of e-books, e-journals available from the CityU Library.)

Chapters extracted from the references below:

1.	Anderson, J. & Poole, M. 2001. Assignment and thesis writing. (4th ed.). Brisbane: Wiley.
2.	Burton, S. & Steane, P. (eds.). 2004. Surviving your thesis. London: Routledge.
3.	Clark, I.L. 2006. Writing the successful thesis and dissertation: Entering the conversation.
	Upper Saddle River: Prentice Hall.
4.	Hatch, Evelyn & Anne Lazaraton. 1991. The Research Manual: Design and Statistics for
	Applied Linguistics. USA: Heinle & Heinle Pub.
5.	Krashen, Stephen D. 1984. Writing: Research, Theory and Applications. Oxford: Pergamon Press
	Ltd.

### 2.2 Additional Readings

(Additional references for students to learn to expand their knowledge about the subject.)

Nil