City University of Hong Kong Course Syllabus

offered by the Department of English with effect from Semester A 2022/ 2023

Part I Course Overv	view
Course Title:	Research Methods in English Studies
Course Code:	EN6471
Course Duration:	1 semester
Credit Units:	3
Level:	P6
Medium of Instruction:	English
Medium of Assessment:	English
Prerequisites: (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Precursors: (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Equivalent Courses : (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Exclusive Courses: (Course Code and Title)	Nil

Part II Course Details

1. Abstract

(A 150-word description about the course)

This course will teach students to research, analyze, evaluate, and apply critical approaches and methods in the field of English studies. The main aim is to prepare them to conduct their own independent research study.

2. Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)

(CILOs state what the student is expected to be able to do at the end of the course according to a given standard of performance.)

No.	CILOs	Weighting (if applicable)	curric	very-enulum reng outce tick priate)	elated omes
1.	make use of library and online resources to conduct literature searches and to review the literature in order to guide the direction and the design of a study	5%	<i>7</i> 11 ✓	√	/113 √
2.	analyse and evaluate a range of research questions in English Studies	10%	√	V	√
3.	analyse and evaluate a set of basic concepts, theories and research methods in English Studies	20%	√	V	√
4.	analyse, evaluate, compare and contrast both the advantages and disadvantages of different research methods, with reference to different kinds of research questions in English Studies	30%	√	✓	✓
5.	discuss ethical issues in research	5%	√	✓	✓
6.	apply the knowledge and skills gained from CILOs 2-4 to design a research study	30%	√	√	√
		100%			

A1: Attitude

Develop an attitude of discovery/innovation/creativity, as demonstrated by students possessing a strong sense of curiosity, asking questions actively, challenging assumptions or engaging in inquiry together with teachers.

A2: Ability

Develop the ability/skill needed to discover/innovate/create, as demonstrated by students possessing critical thinking skills to assess ideas, acquiring research skills, synthesizing knowledge across disciplines or applying academic knowledge to self-life problems.

A3: Accomplishments

Demonstrate accomplishment of discovery/innovation/creativity through producing /constructing creative works/new artefacts, effective solutions to real-life problems or new processes.

Teaching and Learning Activities (TLAs) (TLAs designed to facilitate students' achievement of the CILOs.)

TLA	Brief Description		O No.			Hours/week		
		1	2	3	4	5	6	(if applicable)
Lectures	Interactive lectures delivered by the instructor and guest speakers	√	√	√	√	√	√	2
Group discussions	Guided small group discussions		√	√	√	✓	√	1
Analysis tasks	Guided exploratory tasks that involve the use of authentic research texts representing various research methods	√	√	✓	✓	✓	√	1
Reading	Guided out-of-class reading tasks	√	√	√	√	✓	√	3

4. Assessment Tasks/Activities (ATs)

(ATs are designed to assess how well the students achieve the CILOs.)

Assessment Tasks/Activities	CII	CILO No.				Weighting	Remarks	
	1	2	3	4	5	6		
Continuous Assessment: _100	_%							
Each student will write a part draft of a research proposal.	√	√	√	√	√	√	30%	
Each student will write a complete research proposal.	√	√	√	√	√	✓	70%	
Examination: 0%	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•

100%

5. Assessment Rubrics

(Grading of student achievements is based on student performance in assessment tasks/activities with the following rubrics.)

Applicable to students admitted in Semester A 2022/23 and thereafter

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B)	(B-, C+, C)	(F)
1. Part draft of a research proposal	 Topic Content & analysis 	 Shows full understanding of the topic; Shows full understanding of main concepts and their application; All relevant information is included in discussion and analysis; The purpose of the analysis of concepts is completely achieved; 	 Shows sufficient understanding of the topic; The main concepts are competently discussed and applied; The information included in discussion and analysis of concepts is sufficient; The purpose of the conceptual analysis is achieved; 	 Shows partial/adequate understanding of the topic The concepts selected for analysis are sufficient/sketchy, and partially/adequately applied; Only partial/sketchy information is included in discussion and analysis of concepts; Only partial/sketchy analysis is provided; The purpose of the conceptual analysis is partially/adequately achieved; 	Shows inaccurate understanding of the topic The concepts selected for analysis are highly inadequate; Very limited or inaccurate information is incorporated in conceptual analysis; The analysis is not at all comprehensible; The purpose of the conceptual analysis is not achieved in any way;
	Organization	Effectively sectioned and organized	Quite effectively sectioned and organized	Adequately sectioned and organized	Poorly sectioned and organized
	• Language	• Style and tone are highly appropriate	• Style and tone are appropriate	Style and tone are somewhat appropriate	• Style and tone are completely inappropriate
2. A complete research proposal draft	TopicContent & analysis	 Shows full understanding of the topic; Shows full understanding of main concepts and their application; All relevant information is 	 Shows sufficient understanding of the topic; The main concepts are competently discussed and applied; 	 Shows partial/sketchy understanding of the topic The concepts selected for analysis are partially/adequately 	 Shows inaccurate understanding of the topic The concepts selected for analysis are highly inadequate;

	included in discussion and analysis; The purpose of the analysis of concepts is completely achieved;	 The information included in discussion and analysis of concepts is sufficient; The purpose of the conceptual analysis is achieved; 	 applied; Only partial/sketchy information is included in discussion and analysis of concepts; Only partial/sketchy analysis is provided; The purpose of the conceptual analysis is partially achieved; 	 Very limited or inaccurate information is incorporated in conceptual analysis; The analysis is not at all comprehensible; The purpose of the conceptual analysis is not achieved in any way;
• Research ethics	Gives a thorough discussion, grounded in the scholarly literature on ethics, of the ethical considerations relevant to the project and clearly explaining how they could be addressed	Gives a generally clear discussion, grounded in the scholarly literature on ethics, of the ethical considerations relevant to the project and explaining how they could be addressed.	Gives a partial/sketchy discussion of the ethical considerations of the project	Does not discuss ethical considerations
Organization	Effectively sectioned and organized	Quite effectively sectioned and organized	Adequately sectioned and organized	Poorly sectioned and organized
• Language	Style and tone are highly appropriate	Style and tone are appropriate	Style and tone are somewhat appropriate	Style and tone are completely inappropriate

Applicable to students admitted before Semester A 2022/23

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Marginal	Failure
1. Part draft of a research proposal	Topic	• Shows full understanding of the topic;	• Shows sufficient understanding of the topic;	Shows partial understanding of the topic	Shows inadequate understanding of the topic	Shows inaccurate understanding of the topic
	Content & analysis	 Shows full understanding of main concepts and their application; All relevant information is included in discussion and analysis; The purpose of the analysis of concepts is completely achieved; 	 The main concepts are competently discussed and applied; The information included in discussion and analysis of concepts is sufficient; The purpose of the conceptual analysis is achieved; 	 The concepts selected for analysis are sufficient, and partially applied; Only partial information is included in discussion and analysis of concepts; Only partial analysis is provided; The purpose of the conceptual analysis is partially achieved; 	 The concepts selected for analysis are sketchy and inadequate; Incomplete information is included in discussion and analysis of concepts; The analysis is not informative or comprehensive; The purpose of the conceptual analysis is not adequately achieved; 	 The concepts selected for analysis are highly inadequate; Very limited or inaccurate information is incorporated in conceptual analysis; The analysis is not at all comprehensible; The purpose of the conceptual analysis is not achieved in any way;
	Organization	Effectively sectioned and organized	Quite effectively sectioned and organized	Adequately sectioned and organized	Inadequately sectioned and organized	Poorly sectioned and organized
	Language	Style and tone are highly appropriate	Style and tone are appropriate	Style and tone are somewhat appropriate	Style and tone are inappropriate	Style and tone are completely inappropriate

2. A complete research proposal draft	Topic	Shows full understanding of the topic;	Shows sufficient understanding of the topic;	Shows partial understanding of the topic	Shows inadequate understanding of the topic	Shows inaccurate understanding of the topic
	• Content & analysis	 Shows full understanding of main concepts and their application; All relevant information is included in discussion and analysis; The purpose of the analysis of concepts is completely achieved; 	 The main concepts are competently discussed and applied; The information included in discussion and analysis of concepts is sufficient; The purpose of the conceptual analysis is achieved; 	 The concepts selected for analysis are sufficient, and partially applied; Only partial information is included in discussion and analysis of concepts; Only partial analysis is provided; The purpose of the conceptual analysis is partially achieved; 	 The concepts selected for analysis are sketchy and inadequate; Incomplete information is included in discussion and analysis of concepts; The analysis is not informative or comprehensive; The purpose of the conceptual analysis is not adequately achieved; 	 The concepts selected for analysis are highly inadequate; Very limited or inaccurate information is incorporated in conceptual analysis; The analysis is not at all comprehensible; The purpose of the conceptual analysis is not achieved in any way;
	Research ethics	Gives a thorough discussion, grounded in the scholarly literature on ethics, of the ethical considerations relevant to the project and clearly explaining how they could be addressed	Gives a generally clear discussion, grounded in the scholarly literature on ethics, of the ethical considerations relevant to the project and explaining how they could be addressed.	Gives a rather brief discussion of the ethical considerations relevant to the project and explaining how they could be addressed, with some reference to the scholarly literature on ethics	Raises some ethical considerations relevant to the topic but gives an inadequate discussion of them and/or inadequately describes how they could be addressed and/or makes insufficient reference to the scholarly literature on ethics	Does not discuss ethical considerations relevant to the project and/or does not describe how they could be addressed and/or is not informed by the scholarly literature on ethics
	Organization	 Effectively sectioned and organized Style and tone are 	Quite effectively sectioned and organized	 Adequately sectioned and organized Style and tone are 	 Inadequately sectioned and organized Style and tone are 	Poorly sectioned and organizedStyle and tone are
	Language	highly appropriate	Style and tone are appropriate	somewhat appropriate	inappropriate	completely inappropriate

Part III Other Information (more details can be provided separately in the teaching plan)

1. Keyword Syllabus

(An indication of the key topics of the course.)

Literature Searches, Research Questions, Research Paradigms and Methodologies

2. Reading List

2.1 Compulsory Readings

(Compulsory readings can include books, book chapters, or journal/magazine articles. There are also collections of e-books, e-journals available from the CityU Library.)

1.	Paltridge, B. & Phakiti, A. (2018). Research methods in applied linguistics: A practical
1.	resource. Bloomsbury Academic.
2.	·
2.	McKinley, J. & Rose, H. (2019). The Routledge handbook of research methods in applied
	linguistics. Routledge.
3.	Towheed, S (2010). Tools and techniques for literary research: using online and printed
٥.	sources. In Correa, D. and Owens, W.R. (Eds.), <i>The handbook to literary research</i> (pp.
	9-36). Routledge.
4.	, •
4.	Correa, D. & Owens, W.R. (2009), <i>The handbook to literary research</i> . (2 nd edition).
	Routledge.
5.	Eagleton, T. (2011). Introduction: What is Literature? in <i>Literary Theory: An Introduction</i> .
	John Wiley & Sons.
6.	Castle, G (2007). The Rise of Literary Theory in <i>The Blackwell Guide to Literary Theory</i> .
	Blackwell Publishers.
7.	Elliott, M.A. & Stokes, C. (2003). Introduction: What Is Method and Why Does It Matter?
	In M. Elliot & C. Stokes (Eds.), American Literary Studies: A Methodological Reader(pp.1-
	17). New York University Press.
8.	Yood, J. (2003). Writing the Discipline: A Generic History of English Studies. College
	English, 65, 5, pp. 526–540.
9.	Olsen, S. H. (2005). Progress in Literary Studies. New Literary History, 36, 3, 341–358.

2.2 Additional Readings

(Additional references for students to learn to expand their knowledge about the subject.)

1.	Andrews, R. (2003). Research Questions. Continuum.
2.	Zhang, L. J. & Zhang, D. (2019) Think-aloud protocols. In J. McKinley & H. Rose (Eds).
	The Routledge Handbook of Research methods in Applied Linguistics. (pp. 302 – 311)
	New York: Routledge.
3.	Sato, M. & Ballinger, S. (2016) Peer Interaction and Second Language Learning:
	Pedagogical Potential and Research Agenda. John Benjamins.
4.	Hyon, S. (2018). Introducing Genre and English for Specific Purposes. Routledge.
5.	Owens, W.R. (2010). Editing literary texts. In Correa, D. and Owens, W.R. (Eds.), <i>The</i>
	Handbook to Literary Research (pp. 69-86). Routledge.
6.	Gutpa, S. (2010). The place of theory in literary disciplines. In Correa, D. and Owens,
	W.R. (Eds.), The Handbook to Literary Research (pp.109-130). Routledge.
7.	Johnson, D. (2010). Literary research and interdisciplinarity. In Correa, D. and Owens,
	W.R. (Eds.), The Handbook to Literary Research (pp.131-147). Routledge.
8.	Creswell, J. W. (2015). Educational Research: Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating
	Quantitative and Qualitative Research. Pearson.
9.	Creswell, J. W. & Poth, C. N.(2018). Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing

	Among Five Approaches. Sage.
10.	Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative,
	and Mixed Methods Approaches. Sage.