City University of Hong Kong Course Syllabus

offered by Department of Public and International Affairs with effect from Semester B 2022/23

Part I Course Overv	view
Course Title:	MSSDS Capstone Project
Course Code:	PIA6019 / PIA6019A PIA6019 - Two semesters (2 credits in Semester B + 1 credit in Summer
Course Duration:	Term); PIA6019A – One semester (3 credits in Semester B)
Credit Units:	3
Level:	P6
Medium of Instruction:	English
Medium of Assessment:	English
Prerequisites: (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Precursors: (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Equivalent Courses : (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Exclusive Courses:	

Notes: Students who intend to write a "Master's Thesis" (PIA6015) in lieu of the Capstone Project (PIA6019) must complete PIA5026 Research Design for the Social Sciences in semester A, with a grade of A or above.

PIA6015 Master's Thesis

(Course Code and Title)

Part II Course Details

1. Abstract

This course aims to enable students to undertake an original piece of group research in development studies, to integrate problems identified in the development studies field with different theoretical perspectives in a creative and innovative way, and to apply theories and research techniques to a chosen subject matter. Students will work in groups of three or four to work on a topic of their choice.

2. Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)

(CILOs state what the student is expected to be able to do at the end of the course according to a given standard of performance.)

No.	CILOs	Weighting		ery-eni		
		(if	curricu	ılum rel	lated	
		applicable)	learnin	g outco	omes	
			(please	tick	where	
			approp	appropriate)		
			A1	A2	A3	
1.	Discover new knowledge by identifying and	20%	√	✓	✓	
	formulating a research project on a development					
	related topic at master degree level;					
2.	Work and communicate effectively and creatively	20%	✓	✓		
	with others;					
3.	Critically analyse a specific topic in development	20%	✓	√		
	studies;					
4.	Seek and apply quantitative or qualitative data and	20%	√	√		
	materials relevant to the project objectives; and					
5.	Identify and critically analyse, synthesise and evaluate	20%	✓	✓		
	knowledge and theories relating to development					
	studies.					
		100%				

A1: Attitude

Develop an attitude of discovery/innovation/creativity, as demonstrated by students possessing a strong sense of curiosity, asking questions actively, challenging assumptions or engaging in inquiry together with teachers.

A2: Ability

Develop the ability/skill needed to discover/innovate/create, as demonstrated by students possessing critical thinking skills to assess ideas, acquiring research skills, synthesizing knowledge across disciplines or applying academic knowledge to self-life problems.

A3: Accomplishments

Demonstrate accomplishment of discovery/innovation/creativity through producing /constructing creative works/new artefacts, effective solutions to real-life problems or new processes.

3.

Teaching and Learning Activities (TLAs)(TLAs designed to facilitate students' achievement of the CILOs.)

1				Hours/week (if applicable)				
1	1	1	2	3	4	5		
	Supervised group capstone project Students will be asked to identify a development related research topic to be studied with a small group of fellow students. The principal function of the supervision is to keep the capstone project work focused, relevant and productive. The development of the capstone project includes the following stages: • approval of a project topic (in the beginning of Semester B); • approval of a project outline/interim report (by supervisor in Semester B); • approval of the draft project report (by supervisor); and • submission of the completed project. Each group of students is required to submit the draft project report before the examination week of Semester B; the completed project must be submitted by examination week of the Summer Term. The project's findings may be conveyed through a variety of outputs/media, though in every case an accompanying written research report shall be submitted as well.					✓		
2	Peer assessments Each student must complete a peer review of his/her group members by the course's end.	√	√	√	√	√		
3	Reflective essay Each student must complete a reflective essay on participation in the group research project.	✓	✓	✓	√	√		

4. Assessment Tasks/Activities (ATs)

(ATs are designed to assess how well the students achieve the CILOs.)

Assessment Tasks/Activities	CILO No.						Weighting	Remarks
		2	3	4	5			
Continuous Assessment: 100%								
Group research project	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓		70%	
Each group must submit a completed								
research project presenting the group's								
findings. In consultation with the								
group's supervisor, the project's								
findings may be conveyed through a								
variety of outputs/media, though in								
every case an accompanying written								
research report shall be submitted as								
well.								
Reflective essay	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓		15%	
Each student must complete a								
Reflective Essay which reflects upon								
the experience of conducting the								
project and lessons learnt from the								
course.								
Peer assessments	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓		15%	
Each student must submit a peer								
assessment of each of his or her group								
members.								
Examination: 0 % (duration: N/A, if applicable)								

100%

5. Assessment Rubrics

(Grading of student achievements is based on student performance in assessment tasks/activities with the following rubrics.)

Applicable to students admitted in Semester A 2022/23 and thereafter

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B)	(B-, C+, C)	(F)
1. Group research project	Ability to demonstrate	Knowledge and skills	Knowledge and skills	Knowledge and skills	Unable to demonstrate and
	knowledge and skills	required to undertake an	required to undertake an	required to undertake an	apply knowledge and skills
	required to undertake an	original discovery research	original discovery research	original discovery research	required to undertake an
	original discovery research	project is excellently	project is well demonstrated	project is limited.	original discovery research
	project; quality of critical	demonstrated and applied.	and applied. Good quality of	Superficial critical thinking	project. No critical thinking
	thinking, review of	Very high quality of critical	critical thinking, review of	and limited effort in the	and little effort in the review
	literature, analysis and	thinking, review of	literature, analysis and	review of literature, analysis	of literature, analysis and
	evaluation, written	literature, analysis and	evaluation, written	and evaluation and poor	evaluation and very poor
	communication, and	evaluation, written	communication, and	written communication, and	written communication, and
	creative findings; ability to	communication, and	creative findings. Some	limited creative findings.	very limited creative
	integrate theory and	creative findings.	Indication ability to	Minimal ability to integrate	findings. No demonstration
	practice.	Demonstrate ability to	integrate theory and practice	theory and practice.	of the ability to integrate
		integrate theory and			theory and practice.
		practice.			
2. Reflective essay	Ability to consider and	Demonstrate ability to	Some Indication ability to	Minimal ability to integrate	No demonstration of the
	evaluate one's own research	integrate theory and	integrate theory and practice	theory and practice	ability to integrate theory
	experience in a critically	practice.			and practice.
	reflective manner.				
3. Peer assessments	Ability to make valid	Outstanding evaluation	Good evaluation results	Marginal evaluation results	Below the marginal level
	contribution to the group	results			
	research project				

Applicable to students admitted before Semester A 2022/23

N/A

Part III Other Information (more details can be provided separately in the teaching plan)

1. Keyword Syllabus

(An indication of the key topics of the course.)

Supervised group project; literature review; problem identification; qualitative and quantitative research methods; research and writing.

2. Reading List

2.1 Compulsory Readings

(Compulsory readings can include books, book chapters, or journal/magazine articles. There are also collections of e-books, e-journals available from the CityU Library.)

Creswell, John W. 2009. Research Design. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Hall, Peter. 2005. "The Elements of a Good Dissertation Prospectus or Research Proposal." Cambridge, MA: Harvard University.

Gray, David E. 2009. Doing Research in the Real World. London: SAGE.

Oliver, Paul. 2010. "The Student's Guide to Research Ethics." Maidenhead: Open University Press.

Ridley, Diana. 2012. "The Literature Review: A Step-by-Step Guide for Students." London: SAGE.

Roberts, C.M. 2004. "The Dissertation Journey: A Practical and Comprehensive Guide to Planning, Writing, and Defending your Dissertation." Thousand Oaks: Corwin Press.

Sharp, John A., John Peters, and Keith Howard. 2002. *The Management of a Student Research Project*. Burlington, VT: Gower.

2.2 Additional Readings

(Additional references for students to learn to expand their knowledge about the subject.)

Brady, Henry E. and David Collier. 2004. Rethinking Social Inquiry. London: Rowman & Littlefield.

City University of Hong Kong. (N/A) "Academic Honesty: Fundamental Principles for Knowledge Discovery and Innovation." Accessed online on 14 June 2020 at: http://www6.cityu.edu.hk/ah/

Elster, Jon. 2007. Explaining Social Behavior: More Nuts and Bolts for the Social Sciences. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Geddes, Barbara. 1990. "How the Cases You Choose Affect the Answers You Get: Selection Bias in Comparative Politics." *Political Analysis* 2: 131-150.

George, Alexander L. and Andrew Bennett. 2005. *Case Studies and Theory Development in the Social Sciences*. MIT Press.

Gerring, John. 2001. Social Science Methodology: A Criterial Framework. Cambridge University Press.

Gerring, John. 2007. Case Study Research: Principles and Practices. Cambridge University Press.

Johnson, Janet Buttolph, H.T. Reynolds, and Richard Joslyn. 2001. *Political Science Research Methods*. Washington, D.C.: CQ Press.

King, Gary, Robert Keohane, and Sidney Verba. 1994. Designing Social Inquiry. Princeton University Press.

The University of Hong Kong. (N/A). "What is Plagiarism?" Accessed online on 14 June 2020 at: http://www.rss.hku.hk/plagiarism/