City University of Hong Kong Course Syllabus

offered by College/School/Department of Management with effect from Semester B, 2021/22

Part I Course Overv	riew
Course Title:	Global Business Ethics & Social Responsibility
Course Code:	MGT6066
Course Duration:	One Semester
Credit Units:	3
Level:	P6
Medium of Instruction:	English
Medium of Assessment:	English
Prerequisites: (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Precursors: (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Equivalent Courses: (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Exclusive Courses: (Course Code and Title)	Nil

1

Part II Course Details

1. Abstract

This course aims to provide students with a variety of frameworks and approaches to address ethics both abstractly (via case studies) and as a practical matter, as it will affect them and how they approach life in the workplace and other organizations. Students analyze (1) the role of values and ethics in CSR decisions that managers and organizations make as well as (2) the impact of individual, relational, and cultural differences on how individuals, managers, and organizations recognize, understand, make, and revisit ethical choices. Specifically, the course assesses firms' CSR activities, evaluates the importance and effectiveness of these initiatives. Students will gain understanding why firms would like to act in socially responsible ways and how expectation of being socially responsible may differ in diverse and global contexts.

2. Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)

(CILOs state what the student is expected to be able to do at the end of the course according to a given standard of performance.)

No.	CILOs	Weighting (if applicable)	Discovery-enriched curriculum related learning outcomes (please tick where appropriate) A1 A2 A3			
1.	Develop the concepts of ethics and CSR by understanding how CSR may operate in an international context and in global business.	30%	<i>A1</i> ✓	A2	A3	
2.	Apply the frameworks of CSR to analyze why global firms opt to carry on business in a socially responsible manner.	30%		√		
3.	Identify firms' stakeholders and their expectation for the firms. Develop and evaluate alternatives for managing stakeholder expectation.	30%			√	
4.	Nurture effective communication and interpersonal skills in presenting analyses of firms' CSR activities.	10%		√		
	·	100%				

A1: Attitude

Develop an attitude of discovery/innovation/creativity, as demonstrated by students possessing a strong sense of curiosity, asking questions actively, challenging assumptions or engaging in inquiry together with teachers.

A2: Ability

Develop the ability/skill needed to discover/innovate/create, as demonstrated by students possessing critical thinking skills to assess ideas, acquiring research skills, synthesizing knowledge across disciplines or applying academic knowledge to self-life problems.

A3: Accomplishments

Demonstrate accomplishment of discovery/innovation/creativity through producing /constructing creative works/new artefacts, effective solutions to real-life problems or new processes.

Teaching and Learning Activities (TLAs) 3.

(TLAs designed to facilitate students' achievement of the CILOs.)

TLA	Brief Description	CIL	O No.				Hours/week
		1	2	3	4		(if applicable)
1. Lectures	Emphasis on lectures is placed on learning driven by interest-based activities	✓	✓	✓			
2. Class Activities and Simulations	Learning through class exercises are primarily based on real-business cases	√	√	√	✓		
3. Team Project & Presentation	Learning through conducting a team project and writing a team paper	✓	✓	✓	✓		

4. Assessment Tasks/Activities (ATs) (ATs are designed to assess how well the students achieve the CILOs.)

Assessment Tasks/Activities	CII	CILO No.			Weighting	Remarks
	1	2	3	4		
Continuous Assessment: 100 %						
Course contribution	√	√	√	✓	25%	
Individual in-class test	√		√		25%	
Team presentation and team paper	√		√	√	50%	
Examination: 0% (duration: , if applicable)						

100%

5. Assessment Rubrics (Grading of student achievements is based on student performance in assessment tasks/activities with the following rubrics.)

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Marginal	Failure
1. Course Contribution	Preparation for class materials; participation in class by answering questions, take part in class discussion, and asking questions; contribution in team project.	(A+, A, A-) Student is always prepared for class with relevant class materials. Student always contributes to class by offering ideas and asking questions more than once per class. Participate exceptionally well in team discussion and team presentation; act vigorously as a team leader.	(B+, B, B-) Student is frequently prepared for class with relevant ideas and materials. Student frequently contributes to class by offering ideas and asking questions. Participate actively in team discussion and team presentation.	(C+, C, C-) Student is prepared for class with some relevant class materials. Student contributes to class by offering ideas and asking questions occasionally.	(D) Student is poorly prepared for class with materials that are of minimal relevance. Student almost inadequately contributes to class with useful ideas and asking questions. Participation in team discussion and team presentation is not vigorous.	(F) Student unable and not willing to show or make effort to contribute to the course, nor participate satisfactorily for communal benefits to fellow learners.
2. Individual in-class test	Clarity and depth of understanding about key ethical concepts and theories. They will also be asked to demonstrate some ability to apply those concepts and theories into real-business situations and their real life.	Strong evidence of original thinking; good organization, capacity to organize and synthesize with superior grasp of the subject matter; evidence of extensive knowledge base.	Evidence of a grasp of the subject with indications of critical capacity and analytical ability; reasonable understanding of the issues with good responses to the questions.	A student who has profited from the course; some understanding of the subject with some ability to think analytically and to offer adequate responses to the questions.	Sufficient familiarity with the subject and of ability to respond to the questions as to justify consideration of the student for progression.	Little evidence of familiarity with the course material; poor critical and analytical skills; ignorance of the literature
3. Team Presentation & Team Paper	Be a good team member; presentation skills;	As in B, but with higher degree of originality and evidence of	The evidence presents a good appreciation of the general thrust of	The evidence is relevant, accurate and covers a fair number of issues.	Pieces of evidence are relevant and accurate, but are isolated, addressing a limited	Poor arguments, with little theoretical/conceptual grounding and

					T
apply ethical	internalization into	the project. Good	However, there is	number of issues.	understanding of the
theories in real-	a personalized	coverage with	little evidence of an	Demonstration of	materials and the
world cases.	model of practice.	relevant and	overall view of the	understanding in a	context involved. No
	Good evidence of	accurate support.	project.	minimally acceptable	originality, weak
	reflection on own	A clear view of	Demonstrates	way. Poor coverage,	justification of
	performance based	how various	declarative	no originality, weak	conclusions and
	on theory.	aspects of the	understanding of a	justification of	poorly structured.
	Generalizes	project integrate to	reasonable amount	solutions or	
	principles, models	form a thrust or	of content. Able to	recommendations.	
	or practices to new	purpose. Good	discuss content		
	and unfamiliar	evidence of	meaningfully but		
	real-life contexts.	application of	little application or		
		course content to	integration of items.		
		practice. Solutions	Fair justification of		
		or	solutions or		
		recommendations	recommendations.		
		well justified.			

Part III Other Information (more details can be provided separately in the teaching plan)

1. Keyword Syllabus

(An indication of the key topics of the course.)

Corporate responsibility, Stakeholder analyses, Legitimacy vs Efficiency, Corporate communication and Public relations, Sustainability, Global Reporting Initiatives (GRI), United Nations Global Compact, Charity, Stewardship, Philanthropy, Human rights, Working conditions, Anti-corruption and bribery.

2. Reading List

2.1 Compulsory Readings

(Compulsory readings can include books, book chapters, or journal/magazine articles. There are also collections of e-books, e-journals available from the CityU Library.)

1.	Ciulla J. & Martin, C. (2007) Honest Work: A Business Ethics Reader. New York: Oxford
	University Press.
2.	Haski-Leventhal, D. (2018) Strategic Corporate Social Responsibility: Tools & Theories
	for Responsible Management. London: Sage Publication.
3.	Pedersen, E.R. (2015) Corporate Social Responsibility. London: Sage Publications.
4.	Rasche, A., Morsing, M. & Moon, J. (2017) Corporate Social Responsibility: Strategy,
	Communication, Governance. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

2.2 Additional Readings

(Additional references for students to learn to expand their knowledge about the subject.)

1.	Argenti, P.A. (2016) Corporate Responsibility. London: Sage.
2.	Blowfield, M. & A. Murray (2019) Corporate Responsibility. 4th ed. Oxford: Oxford
	University Press.
3.	Chandler, D. (2015) Corporate Social Responsibility: A Strategic Perspective. New
	York, NY: BE Press.
4.	Hopkins, M. (2016) CSR and Sustainability. Sheffield: Greenleaf Publishing.
5.	Laasch, O. & R. Conway (2016) Responsible Business: The Textbook for Management
	Learning, Competence and Innovation. Sheffield: Greenleaf Publishing.
6.	Tsutsui, K. & A. Lim (eds.) (2015) Corporate Social Responsibility in a Globalizing
	World. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.