City University of Hong Kong Course Syllabus

offered by the Department of English with effect from Semester A in 2017 / 2018

Part I Course Overview

Course Title:	Dissertation
Course Code:	EN6941
Course Duration:	The normal duration is 1 semester, and the maximum duration is 1 semester. This is a dissertation-type course with supervision only.
Credit Units:	6
Level:	P6
Medium of Instruction:	English
Medium of Assessment:	English
Prerequisites : (Course Code and Title)	EN6471 Research Methods in English Studies
Precursors : (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Equivalent Courses : (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Exclusive Courses : (Course Code and Title)	Nil

Part II Course Details

1. Abstract

This course aims to develop academic skills and expertise to carry out independent research in a chosen area of language studies through the application of theory and techniques provided in the programme.

2. Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)

(CILOs state what the student is expected to be able to do at the end of the course according to a given standard of performance.)

No.	CILOs	Weighting	Discov	very-en	riched
		(if	curricu	ılum re	lated
		applicable)	learnin	ng outco	omes
			(please	e tick	where
			approp	oriate)	
			A1	A2	A3
1.	design, conduct, manage and report (on) a substantial piece of individual research and development work	25%	\checkmark	~	~
2.	search, select and critically evaluate literature and other materials relevant to the chosen area	25%	√	~	~
3.	apply suitable research methods and sound scholarly principles to investigate the chosen topic	25%	√	~	~
4.	communicate the results of the research effectively in a logical, precise and coherent manner in the form of a dissertation	25%	V	~	~
		100%			•

A1: Attitude

Develop an attitude of discovery/innovation/creativity, as demonstrated by students possessing a strong sense of curiosity, asking questions actively, challenging assumptions or engaging in inquiry together with teachers.

A2: Ability

Develop the ability/skill needed to discover/innovate/create, as demonstrated by students possessing critical thinking skills to assess ideas, acquiring research skills, synthesizing knowledge across disciplines or applying academic knowledge to self-life problems.

A3: Accomplishments

Demonstrate accomplishment of discovery/innovation/creativity through producing /constructing creative works/new artefacts, effective solutions to real-life problems or new processes.

3.

Teaching and Learning Activities (TLAs) (*TLAs designed to facilitate students' achievement of the CILOs.*)

TLA	Brief Description	CI	CILO No.			Hours/week (if applicable)
		1	2	3	4	
Consultation	The student works with a designated supervisor. The student, through independent study, writes the literature review, collects & analyses data, and then writes up the dissertation. The length should be 10,000 to 15,000 words, not including references or appendices.	~	~	~	~	

4. Assessment Tasks/Activities (ATs)

(ATs are designed to assess how well the students achieve the CILOs.)

Assessment Tasks/Activities	CILO No.			Weighting	Remarks		
	1	2	3	4			
Continuous Assessment: 100	%						
A dissertation - length between	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			
10,000 to 15,000 words, not							
including references or							
appendices.							
						100%	

5. Assessment Rubrics

(Grading of student achievements is based on student performance in assessment tasks/activities with the following rubrics.)

		Excellent	Good	Fair	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B, B-)	(C+, C, C-)	(D)	(F)
1.	Quality of the	An excellent piece of	A good piece of research,	An adequate piece of	A barely adequate piece	An inadequate piece of
	research	original research, with	with (a) a clear fit	research with (a) a	of research, in which	research, in which there
		(a) a clear fit between	between literature	reasonable fit between	there is evidence of an	may be evidence of an
		literature review,	review, research	literature review,	attempt to investigate a	attempt to address a
		research questions,	questions, methodology,	research questions,	problem, but flawed	problem, but serious
		methodology, and	and findings, and (b)	methodology, and	research design or	flaws in research design
		findings, and (b) a clearly	clearly identified	findings (although some	application of research	and/or methodologies.
		identified and potentially	findings, which make a	flaws may be evident),	procedures. The findings	The findings or
		significant contribution	contribution to	and (b) clearly identified	or conclusions are	conclusions, if present,
		to knowledge in its topic	knowledge.	findings which make a	reasonable.	do not relate well to the
		area.	Shows good	limited contribution to	Shows limited or minimal	writer's own research.
		Shows a sophisticated	understanding of	knowledge.	understanding of	Shows little or no
		understanding of	theoretical and	Shows a basic	theoretical and	understanding of
		theoretical and	methodological	understanding of	methodological	theoretical and
		methodological	frameworks and their	theoretical and	frameworks and their	methodological
		frameworks and their	application in research.	methodological	application in research.	frameworks and their
		application in research.		frameworks and their		application in research.
				application in research.		
2.	Organisation	Has a clear organizational	Has a clear organizational	Has a clear organizational	Has a recognizable	Lacks a recognizable
	of the	plan appropriate to a	plan appropriate to a	plan appropriate to a	dissertation structure,	dissertation structure,
	dissertation	report of an original	report of an original	report of an original	but the overall	appropriate to a report of
		piece of research.	piece of research.	piece of research.	organization plan and/or	an original piece of
		Includes a thorough,	Includes all the sections	Includes all the sections	its implementation is not	research.
		focused and concise	specified for an A range	specified for an A/B	appropriate to a report of	
		review of relevant	dissertation, but there	range dissertation, but	an original piece of	May include some or all
		literature; clearly stated	are weaknesses in one or	there are weaknesses in	research.	of the sections specified
		and answerable research	two of the sections. In	most or all of the	T 1 1 1 1 1	for an A-C range
		questions; and detailed	contrast to a C range	sections. In particular,	Includes some but not all	dissertation, but most fail
		but concise statement of	dissertation, the research	the organization of the	of the sections specified	to achieve their purpose.
		methodology; logically	questions, methodology	research questions,	for an A-C range	May be significantly
					dissertation; or one or	May be significantly

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Marginal	Failure
	(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B, B-)	(C+, C, C-)	(D)	(F)
	presented findings in a format appropriate to the research methodology; and a discussion and/or conclusion drawing out the significance of the research. All of these sections should be present in an A range dissertation. A list of references is included, which covers the most relevant titles to the research, and the APA (or similar) referencing and citation format is followed with care.	and findings are reported logically and in detail. A list of references is included, which covers the most relevant titles to the research. APA (or similar) referencing and citation format is followed with care, although occasional inconsistencies and errors are present.	methodology and findings is such that the dissertation does not give a clear picture of how the research was conducted or how its findings were arrived at. A list of references is included, which may be brief, off-topic or contain significant omissions, or inconsistencies between in-text references and reference list. An attempt is made to apply APA (or similar) referencing and citation format, but there are some inconsistencies and errors.	more of the sections is present but fails to achieve its purpose (e.g., the literature review does not review relevant literature, the methodology does not describe a research methodology). The list of references is inadequate for the purposes of the dissertation in that significant sources are missing and/or mis- referenced. An attempt is made to apply APA (or similar) referencing and citation format, but there are frequent inconsistencies and errors.	below the recommended word limit. The list of references is inadequate for the purposes of the dissertation. APA (or similar) referencing and citation format are applied inconsistently or not at all.
3. Language and style	A high standard of written English, although occasional errors in grammar or word choice may occur but do not interfere with understanding.	A good standard of written English, although some errors in grammar or word choice are in evidence but do not interfere with understanding.	A reasonable standard of written English, although frequent errors in grammar or word choice are evident. Errors sometimes affect the intelligibility at some points.	A low standard of written English. Frequent errors in grammar or word choice make parts of the dissertation difficult to understand.	The grammar, word choice, use of academic writing convention, technical terms, modality and tense make the dissertation largely unintelligible.

Excellent	Good	Fair	Marginal	Failure
 (A+, A, A-)	(B+, B, B-)	(C+, C, C-)	(D)	(F)
Appropriate use of	Appropriate use of	There may be uneven use	Academic writing	The standard of English
academic writing	academic writing	of academic writing	conventions are not	in the dissertation overall
conventions. Technical	conventions. Technical	conventions. Technical	followed correctly or	or in a major part of it is
terms are used accurately	terms are used with	terms may sometimes	consistently. Technical	so low that the research
and clearly defined or	reasonable accuracy and	not always be used	terms are used	is obscured and
explained.	are adequately defined or	accurately, and may	inaccurately, and are	uninterpretable.
Ideas are expressed	explained.	sometimes not be	often inadequately	
accurately and with	Ideas are largely	adequately defined or	defined or explained.	
appropriate use of	expressed accurately and	explained.	Ideas are expressed	
modality, tense, and	with appropriate use of	Ideas are sometimes	inaccurately and without	
specification (articles or	modality and tense.	expressed accurately and	appropriate use of	
other determiners).	Free of serious errors.	with appropriate use of	modality and tense.	
Generally, free of errors		modality and tense, but	Errors often affect clear	
that would be picked use		errors sometimes affect	expression of meaning.	
by a careful proof-reader.		clear expression of	Improvement would	
· ·		meaning.	require substantial	
		Contains a considerable	rewriting, rather than	
		number of errors that	proofreading alone.	
		would be picked by a		
		careful proof-reader.		
		Some of which are more		
		than minor mechanical		
		errors.		

Part III Other Information (more details can be provided separately in the teaching plan)

1. Keyword Syllabus

(An indication of the key topics of the course.)

Independent work, student-directed topic, individual research, consultations with supervisor

2. Reading List

2.1 Compulsory Readings

(Compulsory readings can include books, book chapters, or journal/magazine articles. There are also collections of e-books, e-journals available from the CityU Library.)

1.	Bitchener, J. (2010). Writing an applied linguistics thesis or dissertation: A guide to presenting empirical research. NY: Palgrave Macmillan.
2.	Barry, P. (1995). Beginning Theory: An Introduction to Literary and Cultural Theory. Manchester:
	Manchester UP.
3.	Cooley, L. & Lewkowicz, J. (2003). Dissertation writing in practice: Turning ideas into text. Hong
	Kong: Hong Kong University Press.
4.	Costello, P. J. M. (2011). Effective action research: Developing reflective thinking and practice.
	New York: Continuum International Pub.
5.	Paltridge, B. (2007). Thesis and dissertation writing in a second language: A handbook for
	supervisors. NY: Routledge.
6.	Ridley, D. (2012). The literature review: A step-by-step guide for students (2nd ed.). London:
	Sage
7.	Simon Eliot and W.R. Owens (ed). (1998). A Handbook to Literary Research. New York: Routledge, The
	Open University.
8.	Strunk, W. Jr., & White, E. B. (1972). The Elements of Style. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

2.2 Additional Readings

(Additional references for students to learn to expand their knowledge about the subject.) Generic research methods

1.	Andrews, R. (2003). Research questions. New York, NY: Continuum.
2.	Bamberg, M., & Andrews, M. (2004). Considering counter narratives: Narrating, resisting,
	making sense. Philadelphia : J. Benjamins.
3.	Clandinin, D. J., & Connelly, F. M. (2000). Narrative inquiry: Experience and story in
	qualitative research. San Francisco, Calif.: Jossey-Bass.
4.	Klein, S.R. (ed.) (2012). Action research methods: Plain and simple. Basingstoke: Palgrave
	Macmillan.
5.	Creswell, J. W. (2012). Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating
	quantitative and qualitative research. Boston: Pearson.
6.	Creswell, J. W. (2013). Qualitative inquiry & research design: Choosing among five
	approaches. Thousand Oaks, Calif.: Sage.
7.	Hyland, K., & Paltridge, B. (eds.) (2011). Continuum companion to discourse analysis.
	London: Continuum International Publishing Group.
8.	Johnson, R., Chambers, D, Raghuram, P., Tincknell, E. (2004). The practice of cultural studies.
	Thousand Oaks, Calif: Sage.