

Marking Sheet to Assess Mediator

Name: _____

Team Number: _____

Student Performance Categories

CRITERIA		COMMENTS	1 st	2 nd
			Judge	Judge
1. P	Preparation			
ý	Appropriate opening statement/response,			
	procedural matters/rules explained			
	 Common ground anticipated 		14.0	14.0
	Understood both parties interests		/10	/10
	Understood both parties BATNA/WATNA			
	ocus on Interests rather than positions			
	 Avoiding positional statements 			
	Asking questions/exploring other team's			
	interests			
	 Acknowledging other team's interests 		/10	/10
	Establishing/summarizing issues to resolve			
	Separating the people from the problem			
	Use of "I" messages			
	Use of conciliatory or neutral language			
	including acknowledging other's feelings/views			
	 Recognizing the need for face-saving 			
	Not assuming; check-out/clarify/summarise/re-		/5	/5
	framing/paraphrasing		, -	,-
4. l	nventing options for mutual gain			
	 Not fixing on single solution (position) 			
	Generating options to meet both parties'			
	interests			
	 Assisting in exploring conditional offers 		/10	/10
	 Complies with ethical responsibilities 			
5. l	nsisting on objective criteria			
	Strategies used to overcome deadlocks			
	Casting doubt on positions when reality testing		-	<i>i</i> _
	Casting doubt on unsupported statements		/5	/5
6. C	Communication			
	Eye contact			
	 Awareness of non-verbal communication 			
	Appropriate speed of delivery			
	Appropriate body language		/10	/10
	 Adequate closing statement 			•
		Total out of 50		
		FINAL MARK		
		<u>(Out of 100)</u>		

Remarks:

The total mark is out of 50 per judge. In the interests of fairness and consistency marks should be allocated in the range of:

25-29 = low; 30-34 = average; 35-39 = good; 40-44 = very good; 45-50 = excellent.



Marker's Notes: