Abstract—A linear metro that is propelled by a single-sided linear induction motor (SLIM) has recently attracted much attention. Compared with the rotating-induction-machine drive system, the SLIM drive has advantages such as direct thrust without needing friction between the wheel and the railway track, small cross-sectional area, lack of gear box, and flexible line choice on account of the greater climbing capability and smaller turning circle. However, due to its cut-open primary magnetic circuit, the SLIM has a longitudinal end effect and half-filled slots on the primary ends, which can reduce the air-gap average flux linkage and thrust. Based on the winding function method, the SLIM is supposed to have the following three groups of windings: 1) primary windings; 2) secondary fundamental windings; and 3) secondary end effect windings. The proposed method considers the actual winding distribution and structure dimensions. It can calculate the mutual, self, and leakage inductance to describe the influence of the longitudinal end effect and half-filled slots. Moreover, a new equivalent model is presented to analyze the different dynamic and steady-state performance. Comprehensive comparisons between simulation and experimental results that were obtained from both one arc induction machine and one linear metro indicate that the proposed model can be applied to predict the SLIM performance and control scheme evaluation.

Index Terms—Arc induction machine, dynamic-state performance, equivalent circuit model, half-filled slots, linear metro, longitudinal end effect, single-sided linear induction motor (SLIM), steady-state performance, winding function method.
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NOMENCLATURE

\( \alpha_1 \) 
Length of the entry-end-effect wave penetration coefficient (in meters).

\( \alpha_2 \) 
Length of the exit-end-effect wave penetration coefficient (in meters).

\( \sigma \) 
Secondary sheet conductivity \((1/(\Omega \cdot m))\).

\( \eta \) 
Efficiency.

\( \mu_0 \) 
Air permeability (in newtons per square ampere).

\( \omega_c \) 
Angular frequency of the power supply (in rad per second).

\( \omega_r \) 
Angular frequency of the rotor (in rad per second).

\( \tau \) 
Pole pitch (in meters).

\( \tau_e \) 
Half-wave length of the end-effect wave (in meters).

\( \theta_s \) 
Angle between the primary and secondary fundamental currents (in rad).

\( b_g \) 
Flux density along the \( y \)-axis direction (in tesla).

\( g_e \) 
Equivalent air-gap length (in meters).

\( I_{abc,s} \) 
Primary winding phase current in the \( ABC \)-axis (in amperes).

\( i_{\alpha\beta,s} \) 
Primary winding phase current in the \( \alpha\beta \)-axis (in amperes).

\( p_{in} \) 
Input active power (in watts).

\( p_{out} \) 
Output active power (in watts).

\( q_{in} \) 
Input reactive power (in var).

\( s \) 
Per-unit slip.

\( \nu_2 \) 
Motor operating speed (in meters per second).

\( F_e \) 
Thrust (in newtons).

\( G \) 
Goodness factor.

\( G_{sv} \) 
Speed voltage coefficient matrix.

\( I_s \) 
Primary phase current (in amperes).

\( I_{te} \) 
Secondary-phase equivalent eddy current (in amperes).

\( J_1 \) 
Equivalent primary current sheet density (in amperes per meter square).

\( K_p \) 
Winding pitch factor.

\( K_d \) 
Winding distribution factor.

\( L_{Ir} \) 
Secondary leakage inductance (in henry).

\( L_{is} \) 
Primary leakage inductance (in henry).

\( L_m \) 
Mutual inductance (in henry).

\( N_a \) 
Number of primary series turns per phase.

\( N_{abc,s} \) 
Primary winding distribution functions in the \( ABC \)-axis.

\( N_{\alpha\beta,s} \) 
Primary winding distribution functions in the \( \alpha\beta \)-axis.
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Hence, it is an gear box because of the nonadherent driving. Hence, it is an [11]. Third, it has lower noise and less maintenance without a cost, which is particularly favorable for subway systems [9]–[11]. Compared with rotary induction machine (RIM) drive systems, the SLIM system has the following merits. First, it can achieve direct propulsive thrust, independent of the friction between the wheels and rail, and can safely be operated, even in rainy or snowy weather [6]–[8]. Second, it has a smaller turning radius for its special bogie technique, smaller cross-sectional area for its omission of a gear box, larger acceleration, and stronger climbing ability due to its direct electromagnetic force. Hence, it offers a flexible line choice and reduced construction cost, which is particularly favorable for subway systems [9]–[11]. Third, it has lower noise and less maintenance without a gear box because of the nonadherent driving. Hence, it is an attractive mode of transportation in large cities [12].

However, as the SLIM primary moves, a new flux is continuously developed at the primary entrance side, whereas the air-gap flux quickly disappears on the exit side [13]–[15]. An eddy current in a direction counter to the primary current will be induced in the secondary sheet, and it correspondingly affects the air-gap flux profile along the longitudinal direction. This phenomenon is called the “longitudinal end effect.” It can decrease air-gap average flux linkage (mutual inductance) and increase relevant copper loss as its speed goes up [16]–[18].

Due to extensive research, many papers are currently available with regard to the SLIM longitudinal end-effect analysis brought by the sudden generation and disappearance of the air-gap penetrating flux density. In [1] and [2], a single-phase T-model equivalent circuit is proposed to make electromagnetic design for SLIM and then study its steady performance. Based on the 1-D numerical analysis and relevant experimental verification, two coefficients are provided to describe the influence of the longitudinal end effect on the mutual and the secondary resistance. This method can be adopted in a wide-speed region and with different air-gap lengths. However, it is not suitable for studying the SLIM dynamic performance, such as the vector control or direct torque control algorithm, because it is only a steady-state equivalent circuit. In [4]–[6], one simple and useful function $f(Q)$ according to the hypothetical curve of the secondary eddy-current average value and the energy conversion balance theorem is presented. The function is affected by the SLIM speed, secondary resistance, secondary inductance, and some other structural parameters such as the primary length. For its simple equivalent model, it can easily be employed in different control algorithms similar to traditional induction machines. However, the function derivation is very coarse such that it is prone to increasing errors as the velocity goes up. In [7], steady- and dynamic-state performance by using the space harmonic method can theoretically be stimulated and the calculated results have comparatively high accuracy. However, this method requires substantially more computing time, and the accuracy mostly depends on many initially given parameters. If some key parameters are not rationally initialized, the final solution cannot be obtained due to nonconvergence. In [8], a Fourier series expansion was used to describe the influence of the end effects of SLIM. However, the time to attain higher accuracy in this technique can greatly increase for difficult determination of the mother wavelet. In [9], an equivalent pole-by-pole circuit model is derived from the viewpoint of primary winding distribution. It is suitable for the steady and transient performance analysis combined with different advanced control algorithms. Simulation results are verified by several experiments. Unfortunately, one set of tenth-order differential equations are needed to describe a basic model for a four-pole machine, and a higher order system of equations needs to be provided as the pole number goes up. Furthermore, some coefficients in this model mostly depend on experimental verification and are difficult to directly extend to other structures.

In this paper, through the winding function method, a new model is presented to study both the steady- and the dynamic-state performance of SLIM. Using the primary winding distribution, the longitudinal end effect and half-filled slots located in the primary ends are rationally taken into account in the winding function expressions.

II. EQUIVALENT CIRCUITS OF THE SINGLE-SIDE LINEAR INDUCTION MOTOR

According to Maxwell’s law, the air-gap flux density equation of SLIM can be expressed by [17]

$$\frac{\partial^2 b_y}{\partial x^2} - \sigma \mu_0 \nu_2 \frac{\partial b_y}{\partial x} - j \sigma \mu_0 \omega_{e} b_y = -j \mu_0 \frac{\pi}{\tau} J_1(\omega_{e} \tau - \pi x / \tau)$$

(1)
where $\sigma$ is the secondary sheet conductivity, $\mu_0$ is the air permeability, $v_2$ is the primary operating speed, $\omega_e$ is the angular speed of the primary power supply, $\tau$ is the primary pole pitch, $J_1$ is the equivalent primary current sheet density, and $b_y$ is the flux density along the $y$-axis direction. The solution of $b_y(x,t)$ is

$$b_y(x,t) = B_0 e^{-\frac{\pi x}{\tau} + \frac{π}{\tau} e^{-\frac{π x}{\tau} + \frac{π}{\tau} e^{\frac{π x}{\tau}}}(2)$$

where $b_y$ consists of the following three parts: 1) $B_0$; 2) $B_1$; and 3) $B_2$. $B_0$ is the normal traveling wave, which travels forward similar to the fundamental flux density in the RIM. $B_1$ and $B_2$, which are determined using boundary conditions, are the entrance- and end-effect waves, respectively. $\alpha_1$ is the penetration depth of the entry-end-effect wave, $\alpha_2$ is the penetration depth of the exit-end-effect wave, and $\tau_e$ is the half wavelength of the end-effect wave, which are functions of the speed and motor structural parameters [19], [20].

Based on the air-gap flux density equation, the SLIM secondary winding function is divided into the fundamental and end-effect components. First, it deduces the primary two-phase stationary axis model according to the primary actual winding distribution. Because there are no obvious windings on the secondary, the secondary winding function can be obtained from the field distribution theory [21]. In theory, the secondary winding function of the LIM consists of the following two independent components: 1) a fundamental part $N_{es}(x)$ and 2) an end-effect part $N_{te}(x)$. It supposes that two electrically independent but mutually coupled secondary windings can be derived from the steady and dynamic states of the air-gap magnetic flux equations [22]. Moreover, it calculates all inductance, goodness factor, secondary resistance, and speed voltage coefficients. Based on the energy conversion relationship between the primary and the secondary [23], the mathemathic expressions of thrust, power factor, and efficiency are derived. Generally, the whole derivation progress is quite complex, and more details can be found in [9] and [10]. A brief introduction on the theory of winding function is given as follows.

The winding function $N(x)$ of any winding is calculated by counting conductors. One example is the simple single-turn winding, as shown in Fig. 2(a). The conductors can be counted from left to right. If the current flows out of the paper, $N(x)$ is supported to increase by one, and when the current flows into the paper, $N(x)$ can decrease by one. Hence, it is easy to get the curve of $N(x)$ in Fig. 2(b). Although the winding function is a circuit concept, it can be related to field quantities. If Ampere’s law is applied to Fig. 2(a) along the path A1A2 A3A4A1, then

$$\int H \cdot dl = IN(x) \quad (3)$$

where $H$ is the flux intensity, and $I$ is the total winding current. If the iron is supported infinitely permeable, $H$ is zero, except in the air gap along path A3A4. Hence, the air-gap flux intensity $H(x)$ can be described in terms of $N(x)$ as

$$H(x) = \frac{IN(x)}{g_e} \quad (4)$$

where $g_e$ is the equivalent length of the air gap. Based on (4), the winding function $N(x)$ is equivalent to the magnetic motive force $IN(x)$ of the winding normalized by its current. Thus, it is independent of the machine excitation and relative to the machine geometry.

A brief summary of the expressions of three groups of winding functions is given as follows. The primary stationary three-axis winding functions are [9]

$$\begin{align*}
N_{as}(x) &= \frac{N_e}{2} \cos(\pi x/\tau + \pi) \\
N_{bs}(x) &= \frac{N_e}{2} \cos(\pi x/\tau + \pi/3) \\
N_{cs}(x) &= \frac{N_e}{3} \cos(\pi x/\tau - \pi/3)
\end{align*} \quad (5)$$

where $N_{as}$ is $K_p K_{d} N_a$, $K_p$, and $K_d$ are the winding pitch and distribution factors, respectively, and $N_a$ is the number of primary series turns per phase. Hence, $N_{as}$ is called the effective winding turns in series per phase.

To obtain the stationary two-axis stator winding function expressions $N_{as}$ and $N_{bs}$ from the stationary three-axis winding distributions, the following rules according to the theory of flux linkage balance should be obeyed by

$$N_{as}(x) i_{as} + N_{bs}(x) i_{bs} + N_{cs}(x) i_{cs} = N_{as}(x) i_{as} + N_{bs}(x) i_{bs} \quad (6)$$

where $i_{as}$ and $i_{bs}$ can be achieved from $i_{as}$, $i_{bs}$, and $i_{cs}$ by using static 3/2 coordination transformation. Hence, the primary stationary two-axis winding functions are expressed by

$$\begin{align*}
N_{as}(x) &= \frac{3}{4} N_{as} \sin(\pi x/\tau) \\
N_{bs}(x) &= -\frac{3}{4} N_{as} \cos(\pi x/\tau) \quad (7)
\end{align*}$$

The secondary winding functions, including both the fundamental and end-effect parts, are abstract but can be obtained from the electromagnetic relationship between the primary and
the secondary [4]–[6]. The secondary fundamental winding function \( N_{rs} \) is derived by

\[
B_{rs} = \frac{\mu_0}{g_e} N_{rs} I_{rs}^* \tag{8}
\]

where \( B_{rs} \) and \( I_{rs} \) are the secondary fundamental complex flux density and secondary fundamental complex current, respectively. Based on the air-gap flux density steady equation, \( B_{rs} \) can be expressed by

\[
B_{rs}^* = \left( \frac{3\mu_0/4g_e}{} \right) N_{se} \exp \left[ j(\omega e t - \pi x/\tau_s + \theta_s) \right] \tag{9}
\]

where \( s \) is the slip, \( G \) is the goodness factor, \( \theta_s \) is the angle between the primary and secondary fundamental currents, \( I_s \) is the root-mean-square (RMS) value of the primary phase current, and \( \omega_e \) is the angular frequency of the power supply. According to the 1-D model of the LIM depicted in Fig. 3 [3], the secondary leakage inductance can be neglected for its smaller value. Hence, the relationship between the primary and the secondary current is described as

\[
I_{rs}^* = \frac{jX_m}{jX_m + R_e} = \frac{-I_s}{\sqrt{1 + (1/sG)^2}} \exp \left[ j(\omega e t + \theta_s) \right] \tag{10}
\]

where \( X_m \) and \( R_e \) are the mutual inductance reactance and secondary resistance. Hence, based on (8)–(10), the secondary fundamental winding functions \( N_{rs}^* \) are indicated by

\[
\begin{align*}
N_{ars}(x) &= \frac{3}{4} N_{se} \sin(\pi x/\tau) \\
N_{brs}(x) &= -\frac{3}{4} N_{se} \cos(\pi x/\tau).
\end{align*} \tag{11}
\]

Similar to the solution of the secondary fundamental part, the secondary end-effect part winding function \( N_{re} \) can be deduced by

\[
B_{re}^* = \frac{\mu_0}{g_e} N_{re} I_{re}^* \tag{12}
\]

where \( B_{re} \) and \( I_{re} \) are the secondary end-effect complex flux density and current, respectively. Based on the air-gap flux density dynamic equation, \( B_{re} \) can be derived by \([10],[19]\)

\[
B_{re} = \frac{3\mu_0}{4g_e} N_{se} I_s \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_2} + sG \frac{\pi}{\tau} + \frac{j\pi}{\tau} \right)
\]

\[
\times \exp(-x/\alpha_1) \exp \left[ j \left( \omega e t - \frac{\pi x}{\tau_e} \right) \right]. \tag{13}
\]

There are three kinds of flux density waves in air gap, normal flux density wave, entry-end-effect flux density wave, and exit-end-effect flux density wave. \( \alpha_1 \) is the length of the entry-end-effect wave penetration coefficient, \( \alpha_2 \) is the length of the exit-end-effect wave penetration coefficient, and \( \tau_e \) is the half-wave length of the end-effect wave. These three parameters can bring some influence on traveling distances of entry- and exit-end-effect flux density waves in the air gap [19]. With regard to the normal traveling flux density, it is similar to the RIM, whose pitch is \( 2\pi \) period, whereas the entry- and exit-end-effect waves are brought by the end effect from the primary cut-open magnetic paths (both entry and exit terminals), whose pitch are \( 2\tau_e \) period. Take the SLIM in the linear metro for example. \( \alpha_1 \) is 0.4 m, and \( \alpha_2 \) is 2 mm at a base speed of 40km/h; hence, the exit-end-effect wave more quickly attenuates than the entrance-end-effect wave. In general, \( \tau \) (almost 0.25 m) is constant in different speed levels, whereas \( \tau_e \) (about 0.1 m at the base speed) is variable in different speed levels, which can quickly increase as the speed goes up [24].

Based on [3] and \([8]\), \( I_{re} \) is

\[
I_{re} = \frac{K}{1 + j \omega sG} I_s \exp(j \omega_e t) \tag{14}
\]

where \( K \) is a function of the SLIM operation velocity, primary length, and slip frequency. Based on (12)–(14), the secondary end-effect winding function \( N_{re} \) is expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
N_{arc}(x) &= -\frac{N_2}{\tau_e} \exp(-x/\alpha_2) \sin(\pi x/\tau_e - \theta_e) \\
N_{br(c)}(x) &= \frac{N_2}{\tau_e} \exp(-x/\alpha_2) \cos(\pi x/\tau_e - \theta_c).
\end{align*} \tag{15}
\]

where \( N_2 \) and \( \theta_e \) are related to the slip, goodness factor, and SLIM structural parameters, and they can be described as

\[
N_2 = \frac{-3N_{se}}{4} \left( \left( \frac{\pi}{\alpha_2} + sG \frac{\pi}{\tau} \right) + \left( \frac{\pi}{\tau} \right) \right)
\]

\[
\theta_e = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\pi}{\alpha_2} + sG \frac{\pi}{\tau} \right) - \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\pi}{\alpha_2} + \frac{1}{\alpha_2} \right).
\]

According to aforementioned three groups of winding function equations, it is interesting to see that the primary two-axis parts are the same as the secondary fundamental parts, which are sinusoidal waves within the primary length. Because of the influence of the primary half-filled slots, the \( \beta \)-axis wave can be regarded as lagging the \( \alpha \)-axis by approximately \( \pi/2 \) [9]. The secondary end-effect parts gradually attenuate from the entrance side to the exit side due to the influence of the longitudinal end effect [25].

According to the fundamental theory of electrical machinery, the SLIM voltage equation is given in matrix form by

\[
\ddot{\bar{a}} = [R] \ddot{\bar{i}} + \frac{d\bar{X}}{dt} + \nu_2 \frac{\pi}{\tau} [U] \bar{X} \tag{16}
\]

where several vectors are expressed as follows:

\[
\bar{\lambda} = [L] \bar{\dot{i}}, \quad \ddot{\bar{a}} = [\bar{i}_{ax}, \bar{u}_{s}, 0, 0]^T, \quad \ddot{\bar{t}} = [\bar{i}_{ax}, \bar{t}_{ax}, \bar{i}_{ax}, \bar{t}_{ax}]^T
\]

\[
[R] = \begin{bmatrix}
R_s & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & R_s & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & R_r & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & R_r
\end{bmatrix}, \quad [U] = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( L \) is an inductance matrix in which the element between any two winding functions mentioned in (7), (11), and (15) may
be calculated by
\[
L_{12} = \frac{2\mu_0 l_\delta}{3g_r} \int_0^{pr} N_1(x) N_2(x) dx
\]  
(17)
where \(N_1(x)\) and \(N_2(x)\) are two arbitrary winding functions, \(l_\delta\) is the primary stack width, and \(p\) is the number of primary poles. There are 36 inductances to be calculated, and 18 of these inductances are independent of each other. Different from the RIM, the mutual inductance between the \(\alpha\)- and the \(\beta\)-axes such as \(L_{\alpha\beta}\) is not zero, because its coupling results from half-filled slots. The relationship between the flux linkage and current in the two axes is (18), shown at the bottom of the page, where \(L_{ls}\) and \(L_{lt}\) are the primary and secondary leakage inductance, respectively. Because of the influence of both half-filled slots and longitudinal end effect, the flux linkage in the \(\alpha\)- or \(\beta\)-axis can be affected by the following four current components in the \(\alpha\)- and \(\beta\)-axes:

- \(i_{as}\);
- \(i_{bs}\);
- \(i_{ar}\);
- \(i_{\beta r}\).

Inserting (18) into (16) and then making further simplification, two groups of voltage equations can be obtained as follows. The voltage equations of the primary winding are
\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix}
    u_{as} \\
    u_{bs}
\end{bmatrix}
&= \begin{bmatrix}
    R_{as} i_{as} + L_{ls} p i_{as} + L_m p (i_{as} + i_{ar}) + e_{at} - e_{ast} \\
    R_{bs} i_{bs} + L_{ls} p i_{bs} + L_m p (i_{bs} + i_{\beta r}) + e_{bt} - e_{b\beta r}
\end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix}
    e_{at} + L_m p (i_{as} + i_{ar}) + L_{lr} p i_{ar} + i_{ar} R_r + e_{ar} \\
    e_{bt} + L_m p (i_{bs} + i_{\beta r}) + L_{lr} p i_{\beta r} + i_{\beta r} R_r + e_{\beta r}
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]  
(19)
The voltage equations of the secondary fundamental winding are
\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix}
    \lambda_{as} \\
    \lambda_{\beta s} \\
    \lambda_{ar} \\
    \lambda_{\beta r}
\end{bmatrix}
&= \begin{bmatrix}
    L_{ls} + L_m + L_{as\alpha} & L_{as\beta} + L_{as\beta r} & L_{as\beta} & L_{as\beta r} \\
    L_{as\beta} & L_{bs\beta} + L_{bs\beta r} & L_{bs\beta} & L_{bs\beta r} \\
    L_{ar\alpha} & L_{ar\beta} & L_{ar\alpha} + L_{ar\beta r} & L_{ar\alpha} + L_{ar\beta r} \\
    L_{\beta r\alpha} & L_{\beta r\beta} & L_{\beta r\alpha} & L_{\beta r\beta r}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
    i_{as} \\
    i_{\beta s} \\
    i_{ar} \\
    i_{\beta r}
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]  
(20)
where, in (19) and (20), \(e_{at}\), \(e_{bt}\), \(e_{ar}\), and \(e_{\beta r}\) are generated voltages, considering the influence of the longitudinal end effects, and \(e_{ar}\) and \(e_{\beta r}\) are secondary moving voltages, which are calculated by
\[
\begin{align*}
e_{at} &= p \left[ L_{as\alpha} i_{ar} + L_{as\beta} i_{\beta r} \right] \\
e_{bt} &= p \left[ L_{bs\alpha} i_{ar} + L_{bs\beta} i_{\beta r} \right] \\
e_{ar} &= -p L_{as\beta} i_{as} \\
e_{\beta r} &= -p L_{bs\beta} i_{as}
\end{align*}
\]  
(21)
where \(p\) is the differential operator that is equal to \(d/dt\). According to (19)–(21), the equivalent two-axis circuits are illustrated in Fig. 4. From (18), by neglecting the influence of both half-filled slots and longitudinal end effect, these mutual inductances between the \(\alpha\)- and \(\beta\)-axes will become zero for the noncoupling relationship. Hence, the expression of flux linkage can be simplified as
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    \lambda_{as} \\
    \lambda_{\beta s} \\
    \lambda_{ar} \\
    \lambda_{\beta r}
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
    L_{ls} + L_m & 0 & L_m & 0 \\
    0 & L_{ls} + L_m & 0 & L_m \\
    L_m & 0 & L_{lr} + L_m & 0 \\
    0 & L_m & 0 & L_{lr} + L_m
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
    i_{as} \\
    i_{\beta s} \\
    i_{ar} \\
    i_{\beta r}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  
(22)
Furthermore, the inducted and moving voltages \(e_{at}\), \(e_{bt}\), \(e_{ar}\), and \(e_{\beta r}\) can be rewritten as
\[
\begin{align*}
e_{at} &= 0; & e_{bt} &= 0 \\
e_{ar} &= \omega_r \lambda_{ar}; & e_{\beta r} &= -\omega_r \lambda_{ar}
\end{align*}
\]  
(23)
where \(\omega_r\) is the secondary electrical angular speed. The simplified equivalent circuits are indicated in Fig. 5. When the longitudinal end effect and half-filled slots are ignored, i.e., the SLIM totally appears as the RIM, the equivalent circuits in Fig. 5 are similar to the traditional RIM. Different from the RIM, the special traits of the SLIM are the calculation of mutual and end-effect inductances that are functions of the slip, primary excitation frequency, and structure parameters. Moreover, the equivalent circuits in Fig. 5 can be extended to dynamic coordinate references, and the derivation process and final equivalent circuits are similar to the RIM.

According to the energy conversion balance theorem between the primary and the secondary, the thrust is described as
\[
F_c = (3\pi/2\tau) [i_1]^T [G_{as}] [i_1]
\]  
(24)
Fig. 5. αβ-axis equivalent circuits of the ideal SLIM without the influences of half-filled slots and longitudinal end effect. (a) α-axis circuit. (b) β-axis circuit.

where the matrix $i_1$, including the end-effect part, is expressed by

$$[i_1] = [i_{\alpha s}, i_{\beta s}, i_{\alpha r}, i_{\beta r}, (i_{\alpha s} + i_{\alpha r}), (i_{\beta s} + i_{\beta r})]^T$$

and matrix $G_{sv}$ is the speed voltage coefficient matrix, which may be calculated similar to the inductance matrix $L$.

The input active power is

$$p_{in} = \frac{3}{2}(u_{\alpha s}i_{\alpha s} + u_{\beta s}i_{\beta s}).$$

(26)

The input reactive power is

$$q_{in} = \frac{3}{2}(u_{\beta s}i_{\alpha s} - u_{\alpha s}i_{\beta s}).$$

(27)

The output active power is

$$p_{out} = (F_e - F_m)v_2$$

(28)

where $F_m$ is the total mechanical resistant force that involves wind and friction forces.

The power factor is

$$\cos \varphi = p_{in}/\sqrt{p_{in}^2 + q_{in}^2}.$$ 

(29)

The efficiency is

$$\eta = p_{out}/p_{in}.$$ 

(30)

III. SIMULATION AND EXPERIMENTS

The aforementioned derivations describe the dynamic state of the SLIM in differential linkage equations. The equations can numerically be solved step by step by executing in the MATLAB/Simulink environment. In most dynamic cases, the state variables include the secondary linkages and primary currents. The equations can also readily be used in the steady-state analysis by simply setting $d/dt$ to $j\omega_0$ in (16). The following two motors are analyzed in this paper, as illustrated in Figs. 6 and 7, respectively: 1) an arc induction motor (motor A) and 2) one SLIM applied in a linear metro train (motor B) [2], [17]. Their main dimensions and electrical parameters are shown in Table I. The experimental method for deriving the five SLIM electrical parameters can be referred in [21]. Generally speaking, it is similar to the RIM in the following three steps:

Step 1) Direct-current (dc) phase resistance $R_{dc}$. An exact value of the primary dc phase resistance $R_{dc}$ can be obtained by measuring the dc voltage between winding terminals and the dc that corresponds to the rated alternating current (ac).

Step 2) Testing of open secondary circuit (decision on $R_s$, $L_m$, and $L_{ls}$). The actual (ac excitation) the primary phase winding resistance $R_s$, mutual inductance $L_m$, and primary leakage inductance $L_{ls}$ can be obtained by disconnecting the secondary branch of the equivalent circuit.

Step 3) Testing of blocked secondary circuit (decision on $R_r$ and $L_{lr}$). By performing the blocked secondary test with the real reaction rail, the secondary resistance $R_r$ and leakage inductance $L_{lr}$ can be obtained.

The testing analysis is combined with investigation by the numerical circuit method and finite-element algorithm. Some unreasonable data should be neglected.
TABLE I  
MAIN DIMENSIONS AND PARAMETERS OF THE TWO MOTORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Motor A</th>
<th>Motor B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pole pitch/m</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.2808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole pairs</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length/m</td>
<td>0.798</td>
<td>2.476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width/m</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated frequency/Hz</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of slots</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of stator series turns per phase</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of slots per pole per phase</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of half-filled primary end slots</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron depth/m</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of coil pitch/slot</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of conductor per slot</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air gap length/m</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width/m</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheet thickness/m</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back iron depth/m</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator resistance /Ω</td>
<td>0.4253</td>
<td>0.0226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator leakage inductance /Η</td>
<td>2.14E-3</td>
<td>1.03E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutual inductance /Η</td>
<td>11.51E-3</td>
<td>5.58E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor resistance /Ω</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor leakage inductance /Η</td>
<td>0.55E-3</td>
<td>0.17E-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in Fig. 6, the arc induction machine prototype experimental bench has a rotor that is formed on the rim of the large-radius flywheel, whose primary is fed by a converter. The load cell is a dc machine that is connected to the shaft of the SLIM rig by belts. The dc machine can operate at any desired speed and load below the rating values to provide different working points. The measurement sensors located between the SLIM and the dc load can record the SLIM velocity, load power, and thrust.

Fig. 7 shows the structure of the primary, the secondary, and the whole SLIM in the train. The primary is placed in the bogie under the vehicle body, and the secondary sheet is flattened in the experimental linear metro line.

A. Steady-State Simulation and Testing

Fig. 8 presents different thrust curves of two motors under constant-current–constant-frequency excitation. As shown in this figure, the maximal thrust of the SLIM, different from the RIM, will gradually decrease as the speed goes up by the influence of longitudinal end effects, particularly in the area of high speed, whereas the RIM has constant maximal thrust in different frequencies for constant flux linkage in the air gap by its symmetry structure.

Fig. 9 shows the thrust excited by constant voltage in different frequencies by the steady-state equations. In Fig. 9(a), it starts up under 220-V constant primary line voltage, and its current is a little larger than the rating value for its smaller equivalent reactance. As the speed increases, the torque more quickly decreases than by the constant current in Fig. 8(a) for its quicker air-gap flux attenuation. In general, the thrust prediction reasonably agrees with the measurement in various velocities. In Fig. 9(b), it is excited by the primary line voltage 530 V. The general trend of thrust is similar to Fig. 9(a).

The thrust of the SLIM applied in transportation is one of the most important indices. The actual SLIM control scheme often includes the following two regions: 1) the “constant-current” region and 2) the “constant-power” region. Below the base speed, the primary phase current and slip frequency are kept constant. Because of the power conditioner voltage limitation, the constant-voltage operation is encountered above the base speed. The phase current very quickly decreases due to the increasing total impedance. To meet the drive system requirement, it is necessary to linearly increase the slip frequency to
prevent quick thrust reduction. Here, the steady-state drive performance of motor B in the overall working region is analyzed and compared with measurements, including the phase current, thrust, and efficiency curves, as shown in Fig. 10. The base speed is 40 km/h. Simulation on the phase current, thrust, and efficiency is made according to the steady equations of the equivalent models. As shown in the figure, the simulated phase current is close to the measurements. It is kept constant below the base speed and linearly decreases beyond the base speed. The thrust below the base speed decreases a little as the speed goes up due to the end effect, although the phase current is constant. Beyond the base point, the thrust linearly decreases due to the reducing phase current. The error in the base speed is obvious, because the control manner and slip frequency have been changed, but the efficiency calculation and measurement reasonably agree with each other.

### B. Dynamic-State Simulation and Testing

Fig. 11 shows the thrust curves of motor B calculated by the steady- and dynamic-state equations. In the entire range, the primary frequency is supposed a constant at 10 Hz. It is apparent that the steady-state thrust is very close to the dynamic-state thrust in addition to the short starting-up procedure due to the electromagnetic transition in the secondary. By the verification of the steady-state model in Section III-A, it is shown that the dynamic model is also reasonable to make performance prediction. As shown in Fig. 11, it is interesting that there are double-frequency (20-Hz) thrust ripples as the SLIM starts up. This case is due to the electromagnetic dynamics in the secondary circuits produced by the longitudinal end effects. Fig. 12 shows the constant-current start-up process, including the velocity, thrust, secondary fundamental, and eddy-current curves. In the overall operation region, the motor has a constant stator current of 280 A and a constant primary frequency of 25 Hz. As shown in the figure, the secondary fundamental current, similar to the RIM, gradually decreases as the speed rises and is almost zero close to the synchronous point. However, the secondary end-effect part ascends with incremental speed,
reaching a maximum close to the synchronous speed, because the quick air-gap flux linkage change results from the longitudinal end effect.

Fig. 13 shows the variable-frequency–variable-voltage start-up process of motor B. The primary frequency proportionally varies from 2 Hz to 25 Hz with increasing primary voltage to
keep a comparatively constant air-gap flux linkage. The test is carried out on the experimental linear metro line in cooperation with a company, as illustrated in Fig. 7(c). During this testing, the primary two-phase voltages, two-phase currents, and operating speed are sampled by corresponding sensors and input into a Yokogawa DL750 oscillographic recorder. The sampling frequency for voltage is about 20 kHz, and measured voltage data can directly be input into the dynamic equations for step-by-step simulation. Some typical criteria by predication are compared with their measurements, including the stator phase current, train velocity, thrust, and input power. It is shown that the calculation agrees with the measurements in general.

To further verify the new model, the traditional indirect rotor field control algorithm was executed on the arc induction motor (motor A). The flowchart of the control scheme is illustrated in Fig. 14, which includes the following three closed loops: 1) rotor speed loop; 2) $d$-axis current loop; and 3) $q$-axis current loop. These loops are modified by three proportional–integral (PI) regulators, which are similar to the RIM.

Fig. 15 shows the theoretical and measured curves of velocity $v_2$ and thrust $F_e$. The velocity is given by 6.28 m/s, and the field current is kept at a constant of 8 A in the whole process. The SLIM is accelerated from 0 m/s to 6.28 m/s in the first 40 s, then operated with constant velocity for 20 s, and finally decelerated in almost 20 s. Although excited by constant current $I_{ds}$, the thrust is attenuated a little for its longitudinal end effects. Considering different friction and windage resistances between theoretical simulation and practical experiment, the performance curves in Fig. 15(a) reasonably validate the performance curves in Fig. 15(b).

**IV. CONCLUSION**

One new equivalent model of the SLIM has been presented from the winding distribution described by the winding function method. The SLIM is first supposed to have the following three groups of winding functions: 1) primary; 2) secondary fundamental; and 3) secondary end effect. The primary stationary two-axis winding functions are derived from the stationary three-phase winding distributions joined by 3/2 static-axis transformation. The secondary fundamental and end-effect winding functions are obtained based on the electromagnetic distribution relationship between the primary and the secondary. According to the three groups of windings, the influence of the longitudinal end effect and half-filled slots can automatically be described by the corresponding end-effect mutual inductance and half-filled slot inductance. Moreover, the equivalent voltage and linkage equations are derived using the theory of the RIM to construct the equivalent circuits, which can analyze both the steady- and dynamic-state performance similar to the RIM. By comprehensive simulation and/or experiments on different working states, the proposed circuits can be regarded as a useful tool for investigating different SLIM dynamic- and steady-state performance. It is an effective model for the electromagnetic design and performance prediction for the SLIM particularly applied in the linear metro.
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