A biodegradable polymer-based coating to control the performance of magnesium alloy orthopaedic implants
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A B S T R A C T

Magnesium and its alloys may potentially be applied as degradable metallic materials in orthopaedic implantations due to their degradability and resemblance to human cortical bone. However, the high corrosion rate and accumulation of hydrogen gas upon degradation hinders its clinical application. In this study, we adopt a new approach to control the corrosion rate by coating a controllable polymeric membrane fabricated by polycaprolactone and dichloromethane onto magnesium alloys, in which the pore size was controlled during the manufacturing process. The addition of the polymeric membrane was found to reduce the degradation rate of magnesium, and the bulk mechanical properties were shown to be maintained upon degradation. The in-vitro studies indicated good cytocompatibility of eGFP and SaOS-2 osteoblasts with the polymer-coated samples, which was not observed for the uncoated samples. The in-vivo study indicated that the uncoated sample degraded more rapidly than that of the polymer-coated samples. Although new bone formation was found on both samples, as determined by Micro-CT, higher volumes of new bone were observed on the polymer-coated samples. Histological analysis indicated no inflammation, necrosis or hydrogen gas accumulation on either of the samples during degradation. Collectively, these data suggest that the use of polymeric membrane may be potentially applied for future clinical use.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The most commonly used materials for bone fracture fixation are usually made of medical-grade metals such as 316L stainless steel, pure titanium and its alloys, and cobalt–chromium-based alloys [1,2] which are non-biodegradable. However, one desirable characteristic of an implant is its ability to be degraded after the bone has healed as problems may arise if the implants are not degradable. Long-term adverse effects or even an increased risk of local inflammation may occur after long-term implantation since the metallic implant is a foreign body to human tissues [3]. If this is the case, second surgery is subsequently conducted for implant removal. However, repeated surgery not only increases the morbidity rate of the patients, but also results in an increase of health care costs and longer hospitalization [1]. To reduce such complications, the use of biodegradable metallic implants has been investigated [1,4–7].

Magnesium and its alloys are the most commonly used metal amongst all the degradable metallic materials. However, the major obstacles of the clinical use of magnesium-based materials are its rapid degradation rate and the release of hydrogen gas upon degradation [8,9]. Troitskii and Tsitrin used a magnesium–cadmium alloy to secure various fractures, however, reported that the mechanical integrity of the magnesium alloy was only maintained for 6–8 weeks with the release of hydrogen during the corrosion process [10]. Hence, in order to make use of magnesium-based materials feasible for surgical implantation, the corrosion rate must be controlled. The enhancement of the corrosion resistance of magnesium can be achieved by using different modification methods such as alloying [11] and various surface treatments [8]. Witte et al. [4,12] suggested that magnesium alloys, especially those containing rare earth elements seemed to be suitable for use as orthopaedic implants. However, in addition to the alteration of its original mechanical properties, the addition of rare earth metals such as zirconium and cerium into the magnesium substrate may potentially add toxic effects to cells [13,14], as the cytocompatibility of
these elements is not known. In the studies by Li et al. (2008) [5], Zhang et al. (2009) [15] and Zberg et al. (2009) [16]; a magnesium–calcium alloy, magnesium–zinc alloy and magnesium–zinc–calcium alloys, were fabricated respectively and used as biodegradable implants, however, the change in mechanical properties of these alloys during degradation were not addressed.

Apart from alloying, surface modifications such as micro-arc oxidation (MAO), ion implantation and plasma anodisation to improve the corrosion properties of magnesium alloys have been investigated [17–22]. Electrochemical tests were conducted with those surface-treated samples, and an increase in corrosion resistance was reported [17–22], however, as the biological integrity of those surface-treated samples was not reported, there was insufficient data to draw any conclusion before applying in clinical use.

In this paper, we improve the properties of magnesium implants via the deposition of a biodegradable polymer-based porous membrane made of polycaprolactone (PCL) and dichloromethane (DCM) onto a commercially available magnesium alloy in order to control its degradation rate. This paper aims at investigating the feasibility of these polymeric membranes in controlling the degradation of magnesium alloy under in-vitro and in-vivo conditions, and addresses the cytocompatibility and mechanical integrity of the deposited samples during degradation.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample preparation

An AZ91 magnesium ingot with 9 wt% aluminium and 1 wt% zinc (Jiaozuo City Anxin Magnesium Alloys Scientific Technology Co., Ltd.) was used in this study. Disc samples which were 5 mm in diameter and 4 mm in thickness were prepared for the electrochemical corrosion test, immersion test and in-vitro studies while rod samples were prepared for the mechanical integrity testing and in-vivo animal study. The rod samples for mechanical testing were 3 mm in diameter and 9 mm in length whereas for the in-vivo animal study, were 3 mm in diameter and 6 mm in length. All the samples were ground and then polished to remove the oxide. Afterwards, they were ultrasonically cleaned with ethanol before conducting the deposition process.

The deposit material was prepared by mixing polycaprolactone (PCL) (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) with the average molecular weight $M_n \sim 80,000$ g/mol and dichloromethane (DCM) (Fisher chemicals, England). Two different concentrations of deposit...
material containing either 3.33% (w/v) PCL or 2.5% (w/v) PCL in solvent were applied in order to fabricate various porous sizes and porosities. After the mixture was prepared, the polymer-based membrane was deposited layer-by-layer on the sample surface by a custom designed spraying device. The device was equipped with air-flow and temperature control, thereby standardizing the thickness, homogeneity and adhesiveness of the polymer-based membrane. The air-flow pressure and spraying temperature were 276 kPa and 37°C, respectively. The spraying process was confined at the conditions of 50% humidity, 22°C and atmospheric pressure.

2.2. Characterization of the polymer-based membrane

2.2.1. Surface morphology analysis

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was employed to visualize the surface morphology of low porosity membrane (LPM) and high porosity membrane (HPM) after depositing the polymer on the magnesium alloy surface. The average pore size and the total pore area were analyzed using CTAn program (Skyscan Company, Belgium).
potential correlation between ion dissolution and time was subsequently established. In (ICPMS) (Optical Emission Spectrometer, Perkin Elmer, Optima 2100DV). The 2, 4, 7, 14, 30, and 60 days) using inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry from the samples was measured at 9 different time points (i.e. 6 and 12 h, and 1, temperature.

Table 1
Thermal properties of different PCL membranes determined by differential scanning calorimetry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>( T_m ) (°C)</th>
<th>( T_c ) (°C)</th>
<th>( \Delta H_m ) (J/g)</th>
<th>( X_c ) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCL only</td>
<td>61.24</td>
<td>18.15</td>
<td>49.87</td>
<td>35.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPM</td>
<td>57.29</td>
<td>28.58</td>
<td>54.36</td>
<td>39.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPM</td>
<td>56.20</td>
<td>29.03</td>
<td>57.36</td>
<td>41.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( T_m \) represents the melting temperature; \( T_c \) represents the crystallization temperature; \( \Delta H_m \) represents the change of melting of heat and \( X_c \) represents the crystallinity.

2.2.2. Analysis of the thermal properties of the polymer-based membranes

The thermal properties, including the transition temperatures such as the melting and crystallization temperatures and the crystallinity of the polymer-based membranes were determined by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC, TA Analysis, 2910 MDSC V4.4E). For the DSC measurement, the weight of the samples ranged from 5 to 10 mg and the melting curves were recorded from –20 °C to +80 °C with a heating rate of 10 \(^\circ\)C/min. The melting point of PCL is approximately 60 °C and therefore, 80 °C was chosen as the upper heating temperature in order to obtain complete melting of PCL membrane. Two cycles of heating and cooling were conducted, where the first cycle was used to eliminate the heat history of the polymer membrane [23]. All of the samples were firstly heated from –20 °C to 80 °C, and then maintained at 80 °C for 1 min to ensure the complete melting of the PCL crystals. The samples were subsequently quenched to –20 °C at the rate of 10 °C/min and then heated again from –20 °C to 80 °C at a rate of 10 °C/min. The data was obtained from the second cycle.

2.3. Electrochemical corrosion analysis

An electrochemical test was conducted to evaluate the corrosion resistance of the polymer-deposited and untreated magnesium alloys. The samples were embedded in epoxy resin and the top surface was exposed for testing. The corrosion testing was conducted in a standard simulated body fluid (SBF) at a pH of 7.4. The sample resistance was characterized by using potentiostat (VersaStat II EG&G), and the corrosion medium was a standard simulated body fluid (SBF) at a pH of 7.4. The temperature was controlled at 37 ± 0.5 °C throughout testing. Prior to polarization, the samples were immersed in 500 ml SBF for 15 min. The polarization scan started from –220 mV at a scan rate of 1 mV/s, and the changes in the free corrosion potential (\( E_{corr} \)) were monitored as a function of time.

2.4. Immersion test

The immersion test was carried out at different time points to monitor the degradation and the release of magnesium ions of the polymer-deposited and untreated samples. Five of each of the polymer-deposited and untreated samples were individually immersed into sealable capsules containing 10 ml SBF and then incubated at 37 °C for a total of 2 months. The release of magnesium ions from the samples was measured at 9 different time points (i.e. 6 and 12 h, and 1, 2, 4, 7, 14, 30, and 60 days) using inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICPMS) (Optical Emission Spectrometer, Perkin Elmer, Optima 2100DV). The correlation between ion dissolution and time was subsequently established in addition, the pH values of the samples were also measured, and the rate of corrosion was determined by measuring the weight lost from each of the samples.

The magnesium hydroxide composites formed on the sample surface during corrosion were removed by immersing the samples into chromic acid (200 g/l CrO3 + 10 g/l AgNO3) for 5 min [24,25]. Afterwards, the deposited samples were rinsed with running distilled water, and then dried under vacuum. The difference in weight before and after chromic acid immersion indicated the amount of magnesium hydroxide formation, and thus the amount of magnesium ions released from the sample.

2.5. In-vitro studies

2.5.1. Cell viability test of the extracts from the immersion test

The MTT assay was used to determine the cytotoxicity of the polymer-deposited samples to mammalian cells. The test was carried out by using an indirect method, where the immersion extracts collected from the immersion test were used for culturing cells. 7 × 10^4 cells/cm² SaOS-2 human osteoblasts were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (Biowest, France), antibiotics (100 U/ml of penicillin and 100 μg/ml of streptomycin), and 2 mM L-glutamine in a 96-well tissue culture plate and incubated at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 5% CO2 and 95% air for one day. On the second day, the culture media in each well were replaced with immersion SBF extracts supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Biowest, France), and

Fig. 4. Heating and cooling scans of DSC thermograms of different PCL membranes. \( T_m \) represents the melting temperature while \( T_c \) represents the crystallization temperature.

Fig. 5. Potentiodynamic polarization curves of PCL-coated and uncoated magnesium alloys which was obtained from the electrochemical measurement. The polarization scan started from –220 mV at a scan rate of 1 mV/s and the changes in the free corrosion potential (\( E_{corr} \)) were monitored as a function of time.

Fig. 6. Magnesium ions released from PCL-coated and uncoated AZ91 magnesium alloy over time as measured by inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICPMS). All the values of both the LPM and HPM samples were found to be significantly different (\( p < 0.05 \)) when compared with the uncoated sample.
incubated at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 5% CO2 and 95% for three days. 10 μL of MTT solution [5 mg thiazolyl blue tetrazolium bromide powder in 1 ml phosphate buffered saline (PBS, OXOID Limited, England)] was then added into each well on the third day. The 96-well tissue culture plate containing MTT solution and cells were then incubated at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 5% CO2 and 95% air for a further three days. After incubation, 100 μl of 10% sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS, Sigma, USA) in 0.01M hydrochloric acid was added into each well and incubated at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 5% CO2 and 95% air for 18 h. The absorbance was recorded by the multimode detector (Beckman Coulter DTX 880) at 570 nm wavelength, with a reference wavelength of 640 nm to determine the cell viability in comparison to the control.

2.5.2. Determination of cell compatibility of the polymer-coated magnesium alloy

To evaluate the cytocompatibility of the polymer-deposited magnesium alloys, standard cell culturing was applied to the coated and uncoated sample surfaces. Six of each of the coated and uncoated AZ91 magnesium alloy was fixed to the bottom of a 96-well tissue culture plate. A cell suspension consisting of 1.7 of each of the coated and uncoated AZ91 magnesium alloy was fixed to the bottom of standard cell culturing was applied to the coated and uncoated sample surfaces. Six 2.5.2. Determination of cell compatibility of the polymer-coated magnesium alloy were then incubated at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 5% CO2 and 95% air for 18 h. The absorbance was recorded by the multimode detector (Beckman Coulter DTX 880) at 570 nm wavelength, with a reference wavelength of 640 nm to determine the cell viability in comparison to the control.

2.6. Mechanical testing

Compression testing was used to determine and compare the bulk mechanical properties, including yield strength and Young's modulus, of the polymer-coated and uncoated samples during degradation.

The samples were immersed into SBF using the same protocol as described for the immersion test, and the mechanical properties were monitored at 9 different time intervals (i.e. 6 and 12 h, and 1, 2, 4, 7, 14, 30, and 60 days). The testing speed was set at a rate equivalent to 0.45 m/m min and a Material Testing System (MTS) 858.02 Mini Bionix (USA) testing machine was used to conduct the compression testing.

2.7. In-vivo animal study

2.7.1. Surgery

The anaesthetic, surgical and post-operative care protocols were examined by and fulfilled the requirements of the University Ethics Committee of The University of Hong Kong, and the Licensing Office of the Department of Health of the Hong Kong Government.

Three six-month old female New Zealand White rabbits from the Laboratory Animal Unit of The University of Hong Kong were used in this study. Their average weights were approximately 4.5–5.0 kg and the chosen operation site was the greater trochanter. Each rabbit was implanted with either of HPM-coated, LPM-coated or uncoated samples, where two samples were implanted into each rabbit. Both uncoated and HPM samples were implanted into the right greater trochanter while LPM samples were implanted into the left greater trochanter (as shown in Fig. 1). In order to monitor the in-vivo degradation of the coated and uncoated samples, serial time points of 1 week and 1 and 2 months were set.

Rabbits were anaesthetised with Ketamine (35 mg/kg), Xylazine (5 mg/kg) and Acepromazine (1 mg/kg) by subcutaneous administration. The operation sites of the rabbits were shaved. After anaesthesia, decortication was carried out. Two holes 3 mm in diameter were made at the greater trochanter through a minimal invasive approach. A hand driller was used to drill a hole 6 mm in depth. Subsequently, the magnesium rods were implanted into the prepared holes on either the left or right leg of the rabbits. The wound was then sutured layer-by-layer, and a proper dressing was applied over the incision. After the operation, all rabbits received subcutaneous injections of 1 mg/kg teramycin (antibiotics) and 0.5 mg/kg ketoprofen. The rabbits were sacrificed 2 months post-operatively.

2.7.2. Radiographic evaluation

At each particular time point (i.e. 1 week, 1 and 2 months), X-ray radiography (Faxitron X-ray corporation) was conducted at the operation site so as to monitor the healing process immediately after the surgery and prior to sacrificing the rabbits.

2.7.3. Analysis of the magnesium ion concentration in blood of implanted rabbits

Blood was collected prior to, 1 week, 1 month, and 2 months after the operation to determine the magnesium ion concentrations in the blood. Blood was centrifuged at 1339 g for 15 min at room temperature (2–5 Sartorius, Sigma, USA) and the sera filter, and the fluorescence images were emitted at 510 nm and captured using a Sony DKS-ST5 digital camera.

Fig. 7. pH values of PCL-coated and uncoated AZ91 magnesium alloy over time. The values of both the LPM and HPM samples were found to be significantly different (p < 0.05) when compared with the uncoated sample.

Fig. 8. Total weight lost from PCL-coated and uncoated AZ91 magnesium over time.
were collected and stored at 4 °C till analysis. Prior to analysis, the sera were diluted 10 times in double distilled water. The magnesium ion concentration was determined using inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICPMS) (Optical Emission Spectrometer, Perkin Elmer, Optima 2100DV), and the concentrations of magnesium ions released from both treated and untreated samples were compared.

2.7.4. Histological evaluation

The bone samples with implants were harvested and fixed in 10% buffered formalin for 3 days. Subsequently, a standard tissue processing step was conducted to change the samples from an aqueous stage to an organic stage. A dehydrating process was performed using 70%, followed by 95% then 100% ethanol. The samples were immersed in each of the solutions for 3 days. Xylene was subsequently used as a transition between ethanol and methyl-methacrylate, where the samples were immersed in xylene for 3 days. Finally, all the samples were embedded in methyl-methacrylate (Technovit 9100 New®, Heraeus Kulzer, Hanau, Germany) as per manufacturer’s instructions. Prior to cutting the samples, the whole embedded samples were scanned in a micro-computed tomography device (SKYSCAN 1076, Skyscan Company) to view the extent of corrosion of the samples. After scanning, 2D planes were reconstructed using the NRecon (Skyscan Company) and the 3D models were generated by CTVol (Skyscan Company). The residual implant volume was then analyzed using CTAn program (Skyscan Company) which is used to examine micro-CT datasets for morphometry and densitometry, as well as new bone growth. After analyzing by micro-CT, the embedded samples were then cut into sections with a thickness of 200 μm and then micro-grounded down to 50–70 μm thickness. The sectioned samples were stained with gimesa (MERCK, Germany) stain. The morphological and histological analyses were performed and viewed under a light microscope to observe for any bone on-growth and integration with the host tissue.

3. Results

3.1. Characterization of the polymer membrane

3.1.1. Surface morphology analysis

Fig. 2 shows the surface morphologies of the polymer-deposited samples under scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The total pore area of the LPM sample was found to be approximately 236 μm² in which most of the pores were between 0.8 μm and 1.6 μm in size. The average pore size was 0.302 μm, and the porosity of the LPM sample was 18.2%. On the other hand, the total pore area of the HPM sample was approximately 572.1 μm², with the pore size ranging between 3.2 μm and 6.4 μm. The average pore size 0.995 μm, and the porosity of the HPM sample was 44.1% (as shown in Fig. 3).

3.1.2. Analysis of the thermal properties of the polymer-based membranes

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was used to study the thermal properties of the polymer membranes. Fig. 4 shows the heating and cooling thermograms of different PCL membranes, respectively. The melting temperature ($T_m$) and the change of melting of heat ($\Delta H_m$) were obtained from the heating thermograms while the crystallization temperature ($T_c$) was identified from the cooling thermograms. The crystallinity degree $X_c$% of the polymer membrane could be determined by using the reference of 136 J/g for crystalline polycaprolactone [26,27]. Pure PCL had the highest melting temperature of 61.24 °C and the lowest crystallization temperature of 18.15 °C as compared to the polymer-coated samples. The melting temperatures were decreased from pure PCL towards HMP in which HPM had the melting temperature of 56.2 °C whereas the crystallization temperatures were increased from pure PCL to HPM where it had the crystallization temperature of 29.03 °C. The highest crystallinity was found on the HPM of 41.3% whereas the lowest crystallinity was found on the PCL only of 35.9%. LPM had approximately 2% lower crystallinity as compared to the HPM. The data obtained from the DSC thermograms are summarized in Table 1.

3.2. Electrochemical measurement

The electrochemical polarization curves of the PCL samples are shown in Fig. 5. The corrosion potential (Ecorr) showed that the polymer-coated magnesium alloys shifted the open circuit potential to a more positive potential. The Ecorr, with reference to the AZ91 uncoated magnesium alloy, increased by 1444 mV in LPM samples and 1114 mV in HPM samples. At the same time, the values of the corrosion current (Icorr) of the polymer-coated samples, especially LPM sample, were lower than that of the uncoated sample. Therefore, both the Ecorr and Icorr showed that the PCL-coated samples were able to enhance the corrosion resistance of magnesium alloy.

3.3. Immersion test

Figs. 6 and 7 show the concentration of the magnesium ions released and the pH values of the polymer-coated and uncoated magnesium alloys, respectively. The magnesium ion concentrations as determined by inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICPMS) of the uncoated samples was found to increase from approximately 19 ppm after 6 h of immersion, to 1360 ppm after 60 days of immersion, while the coated samples had a very slow release rate by comparison. The magnesium ion concentrations that were detected between 6 h and 60 days of immersion for the LPM

![Fig. 10. Correlation between cell viability and the magnesium ion concentration of PCL-coated and uncoated AZ91 magnesium alloy. (a) PCL-coated, (b) Uncoated samples.](image-url)
and HPM samples ranged between 2.6 ppm and 238.6 ppm and from 2.4 ppm to 433.4 ppm, respectively. The results revealed that the amount of magnesium leached from all the coated samples were significantly \( p < 0.05 \) reduced compared to the uncoated sample. The pH range of LPM and HPM samples was found to be between 7.17 to 7.62, and 7.19 to 7.86, respectively, after 2 months of immersion, while the uncoated sample had the pH values ranging between 7.52 and 8.20.

Fig. 8 shows the degradation rate of the PCL-coated and uncoated samples in terms of the amount of weight lost from the samples. The total weight lost from the uncoated sample was approximately 17 mg after 2 months of immersion, however, for the polymer-coated samples, the total weight lost from the LPM and HPM samples after 2 months were 3.59 mg and 6.22 mg, respectively.

3.4. In-vitro studies

3.4.1. Cell viability test of the extracts from the immersion test

Fig. 9 shows the SaOS-2 human cell viability which grown in the extracts from the immersion test, as determined by the MTT assay. All the extracts of the polymer-coated samples (LPM and HPM) were well tolerated by the osteoblasts with the cell viability ranging from 130% to 80% until day 60, while the uncoated samples ranged from 130% to 20%. A sudden drop in the cell viability of the uncoated samples was observed after day 4, whereas the cell viability of the polymer-coated samples remained at approximately 100%. The correlation between cell viability and the amount of magnesium ions released is shown in Fig. 10a and b. The highest amount of magnesium ions released from the HPM sample at day 60 was 443 ppm with a cell viability of 80%, whereas the highest amount of magnesium ions released from the LPM sample was 240 ppm with a cell viability of approximately 96%. However, for the uncoated samples, the amount of magnesium ions released at day 60 was 1360 ppm which was associated with a cell viability of 25%.

3.4.2. Determination of cell attachment and growth of the polymer-coated magnesium alloy

Fig. 11 shows that viable cells were observed on the uncoated and polymer-coated samples after 1 and 3 days of cell culturing. On day 1 focal adhesion and cells spreading were observed on the polymer-coated samples (Fig. 11a), while no cell attachment was observed on the uncoated sample. After 3 days of cell culture (Fig. 11b), the osteoblasts exhibited good cell spreading and had almost grown to 100% confluency on the polymer-coated samples, in contrast to the uncoated sample which had no cell growth.

3.5. Mechanical characterization

Fig. 12 shows the results of the compression test. The compressive strengths of both coated and uncoated samples were found to be similar before immersion (i.e. time point 0). Subsequently, the strength of the uncoated samples decreased as the immersion period increased, while the strength of the polymer-coated samples kept constant between the 6 h and 60 days time points. The compressive strengths of LPM and HPM samples remained at approximately 170 MPa after 60 days of immersion, however, that of the uncoated sample has dropped to 111 MPa. Therefore, the polymer-coated samples were able to maintain a constant compressive strength for a period of time.

3.6. In-vivo animal study

3.6.1. Radiographic evaluation

Fig. 13 shows the radiographs of the rabbit greater trochanter after 1 week, 1 and 2 months post-operation. No gas bubbles were observed after implantation, and all the implants were intact throughout the whole implantation period. However, the radiographs of the whole implants were not clear under X-ray radiography, therefore other evaluation method including the Micro-computed tomography analysis was adopted to observe the degradation rate of the implant.
The uncoated magnesium alloy showed newly formed bone volume around the implants and the volume more bone formation (5.17 mm³) than the uncoated sample. The however, had a smaller implant volume reduction (0.05%) and among the polymer sprayed samples, HPM had also corroded, with the testing speed 0.45 m/m min. The compression test was conducted by using the Material Testing System (MTS) time. The corrosion morphology of the implant in the greater trochanter in the New Zealand White rabbits was studied using micro-computed tomography. Corrosion (red arrows in Fig. 14d, f, g and i) was observed on both uncoated and HPM but not on LPM samples. Moreover, all the samples showed direct contact with the newly formed bone, where the PCL membrane was clearly seen on the LPM and HPM samples (yellow arrows in Fig. 14e and f). Fig. 15 shows the 3D models of the newly formed bone on both coated and uncoated implants, and Table 2 shows the values of the newly formed bone volume around the implants and the volume reduction of the implants. The uncoated magnesium alloy showed the least volume of new bone growth of 1.36 mm³ and the largest volume reduction of 0.33% after 2 months of implantation (Fig. 15a). Among the polymer sprayed samples, HPM had also corroded, however, had a smaller implant volume reduction (0.05%) and more bone formation (5.17 mm³) than the uncoated sample. The LMP sample had the greatest amount of new bone formation of 10.79 mm³ and did not have any implant volume reduction.

3.6.3. Serum magnesium measurements
Fig. 16 shows the changes in the serum magnesium levels of all the implants after 2 months post-operation. The serum magnesium levels of all the rabbits were noted to fluctuate from approximately 13 ppm to 19 ppm. No trend could be determined from the results.

3.6.4. Histological evaluation
Fig. 17 shows the tissue response to both polymer-coated and uncoated magnesium alloy after 2 months of implantation, where new bone tissue (black arrows) was observed to form around the implant. All the samples showed direct contact with the newly formed bone. Osteoblasts, which are responsible for new bone formation, were also observed around the implants (green arrows). More bone was formed around the polymer-coated implants in comparison to the uncoated sample.

4. Discussion
The use of magnesium alloys as biodegradable materials was first investigated during the first half of the last century [28,29]. The major obstacles of applying magnesium alloys in clinical use are its rapid degradation rate and the release of hydrogen gas upon degradation. Hence, different modifications of magnesium alloys have been conducted, of which one of the approaches is surface modification [8,17,18,30–32]. By conducting a suitable surface modification, the corrosion resistance properties of magnesium alloys may be enhanced.

In this study, we conducted degradable polymeric membranes with controllable porosity and deposited these onto the magnesium alloys. The pore formation was mainly due to the process of phase separation [33,34] in which the solvent evaporation in the polymer solution acted as a driving force for phase separation since the polymer solution became thermodynamically unstable during solvent evaporation. This resulted in the formation of either a polymer-rich or polymer-poor phase [35–37], where the polymer-rich phase would be solidified, whereas the polymer-poor phase led to pore formation. Moreover, the size of the pores was related to the concentration of the polymer solution, where a more concentrated polymer solution resulted in smaller pores due to the presence of less polymer-poor phase [38,39], and this explains why larger pores were observed on the HPM as compared to the LPM. In addition, the polymer membrane was deposited layer-by-layer with smaller pores in the inner layer which was mainly due to the amount of polymer present in each layer. We assumed that part of the outer layer of polymer would merge into the pores of the inner layer which would result in the pores being filled up, however, as it is impossible to completely fill these, smaller pores were therefore observed in the inner layer. As a result of this, lower amounts of polymer were present in the outer layer which led to the formation of larger pores in the outer layer. Further studies to verify this are currently in progress.

The compressive strengths of both the coated and uncoated samples at the 0 h time point were found to be similar since the polymer membrane did not affect the bulk mechanical properties of the magnesium alloy. Whilst the compressive strength of the uncoated sample dropped significantly due to corrosion and magnesium ion release during the early time points, the rate of decrease in the compressive strength was reduced at later time points due to magnesium hydroxide formation [40]. However, the compressive strengths of the polymer-coated samples remained at least 60 MPa higher than the uncoated samples after two months of immersion, which was largely due to the slower corrosion rate of the implant. This is a critical factor to consider for the application of polymer-based membranes in orthopaedic implants. The mechanical integrity of an orthopaedic implant is very important since it is used to fix fractured bones, therefore, the implant must provide enough mechanical support to the bone throughout the healing process. Since the strength of an uncoated implant decreased more than 50% in two months due to corrosion, the slower rate of corrosion of the polymer-coated implants, which were still maintained at above 70%, would thus suit the application of orthopaedic implants as the strength of the implant is maintained within the first two months which would allow for a longer period of time for bone fractures to heal. However, further long-term studies are needed to confirm this.

From the radiographs, no gas bubbles were observed throughout the entire experimental period for both polymer-coated and uncoated samples, which was different from other studies [5,12]. Witte et al. [12] reported that the implantation of AZ91 magnesium alloy into guinea pig femora intramedullary resulted in gas bubbles appearing within one week of implantation and subsequently disappearing after two to three weeks, whereas in another study, the appearance of gas bubbles occurred during the first month [5]. The difference between this study and Witte’s study may be explained by a number of reasons including the
Fig. 13. Radiographs of PCL-coated and uncoated AZ91 magnesium alloy after 1 week, 1 and 2 months post-operation. (a to c) uncoated, LPM, and HPM after 1 week post-operation, respectively; (d to f) uncoated, LPM and HPM after 1 month post-operation, respectively; (g to i) uncoated, LPM and HPM after 2 months post-operation, respectively.
different animal model used, the size of the implant, and the implantation site. Although we used the same type of AZ91 magnesium alloy, the sample that Witte et al. (2005) used had a surface-to-volume ratio approximately 1.65 times larger than the one used in our study, which would have resulted in a large surface area exposed to the body, thereby increasing the amount of corrosion of the implant. This could have resulted in a hydrogen gas release rate that was faster than the absorption rate, which may explain why hydrogen gas was observed during the first three weeks after implantation. Over time, the corrosion rate would have slowed down because of the formation of magnesium hydroxide [12], and thus the gas would have been absorbed afterwards, which accounts for the disappearance of the hydrogen gas bubbles after two to three weeks [5].

In order to visualize the corrosion morphology and quantify the in-vivo corrosion rate, micro-computed tomography was employed. Under in-vivo conditions, slight corrosion occurred on HPM but not on the LPM, which correlated with the in-vitro data. Since increased numbers of pores, and larger pore size were found on the HPM, this allowed more body fluid to pass through and make contact, therefore increasing the amounts of corrosion of the HPM in comparison to the LPM. Nonetheless the uncoated implant still corroded the fastest out of the three types of samples. This indicated that the polymer membrane was able to reduce the release of magnesium ions, thereby controlling the degradation rate of magnesium alloy in the in-vivo environment.

Bone formation was studied and quantified using micro-computed tomography. The newly formed bone was found around the implants of both coated and uncoated samples and there were no adverse effects found after implantation, which proved their biocompatibility. Although corrosion occurred on uncoated and HPM samples, bone formation was still observed around those implants, which concur with others' findings [5,7]. However, upon comparison of the amount of new bone formation, it was found that

---

**Fig. 14.** Micro-CT reconstruction images of the greater trochanter containing coated and uncoated sample. (a to c) transverse view; (d to f) coronal view and (g to i) 3D view of the uncoated, LPM and HPM samples. The corrosion condition of the samples can be scanned and viewed in a micro-computed tomography device.
the uncoated sample had the least amount of new bone formation and the polymer-coated samples had the new bone volume in descending order of LPM > HPM. Higher amounts of bone formation around the polymer-coated samples as compared to the uncoated samples may be due to several reasons. One reason for this may be attributed to a reduced rate of corrosion, as the polymer-coating decreased the amount of direct contact with the body. In addition, large amounts of magnesium ion release during corrosion of the uncoated sample possibly inactivated new bone formation [41], thereby resulting in less new bone formation around the uncoated sample when compared to the polymer-coated samples. The high levels of new bone formation found on the LPM sample may be explained by the release of low levels of magnesium ions, which has been reported to enhance osteoblastic activity and thus generate a stimulatory effect on the growth of new bone tissue [1,42], and also correlated with the MTT data in this study. Hence, LPM-coated samples may have induced more new bone formation due to the release of low levels of magnesium ions.

Histological analysis revealed an area of bone formation around the implants and although corrosion was found on uncoated and HPM samples in the histological staining, which was confirmed with micro-CT analysis, there was an absence of inflammation and necrosis, which suggested that there were no toxic effects in the surrounding tissues. This was a good indication that the coated sample would be safe for in-vivo use, considering that once the polymer membrane degraded, the uncoated magnesium alloy would also degrade and not induce adverse effects into the localized tissues. This correlated with the serum magnesium

Table 2
Amounts of new bone volume and the remaining implant volume after 2 months implantation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>New bone volume (mm³)</th>
<th>Initial implant volume (mm³)</th>
<th>Final implant volume (mm³)</th>
<th>Implant volume change (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uncoated</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>42.41</td>
<td>42.27</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPM</td>
<td>10.79</td>
<td>42.41</td>
<td>42.41</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPM</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>42.41</td>
<td>42.39</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 15. Micro-CT 3D reconstruction models of newly formed bone (white in color) on both coated and uncoated implants. (a) Uncoated, (b) LPM and (c) HPM.

Fig. 16. Changes in serum magnesium levels before and after implantation. The serum was collected by centrifugation at 1339 g for 15 min at room temperature. The magnesium ion concentration was determined by inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICPMS).
measurements where no significant differences were observed between the serum magnesium levels after implantation for either the coated or uncoated samples, which most likely due to homeostatic regulation by the kidney [12,43]. The detected serum magnesium ion levels were below 20 ppm and were within the normal range of physiological magnesium levels [43–46]. Correlating the observed serum magnesium levels with the MTT assay data, a toxic effect occurred only if the magnesium ion concentration exceeded 150 ppm, which indicated that there should be no toxicity problems occurring in-vivo. Taking this data together, the polymer-coated samples reduces the rate of magnesium ion release and allows for the homeostatic maintenance of physiological magnesium levels. More importantly, the data indicates that after the polymer-coating is degraded, thus leaving behind the uncoated implant, the release of magnesium ions from this uncoated implant does not induce toxic levels of magnesium. Further long-term in-vivo studies, which continue until complete degradation of the implant, are needed to verify this.

5. Conclusion

In summary, this study demonstrated the effectiveness of applying a porosity controllable biodegradable polymer membrane on a magnesium alloy. The addition of a polymer-coating on the implant was shown to reduce the corrosion rate of the implant. This was mainly related to the pore size of the membrane, which may be altered during synthesis to suit potential applications. In addition to reducing the corrosion rate of the magnesium alloy, the polymer-coated samples also aided in retaining the mechanical strength of the implant in contrast to uncoated samples during the immersion test. This is a great advantage for the application of polymer-coated implants for orthopaedic procedures as the slower release rate of magnesium ions and strength of the polymer-coated implants allows for sufficient time for bone healing and also promotes new bone growth. Our study also indicated good cell bio-compatibility with no observed inflammation or necrosis. Additionally, the serum magnesium levels after implantation were retained within a normal physiological range. This was also observed for the uncoated samples, which indicated that after degradation of the polymer-coating from the implant, further corrosion of the implant would not result in cell toxicity. Further studies are needed to improve the membrane’s adhesion properties to the implant and additional long-term in-vivo studies are required to further validate the use of polymer-coated implants for orthopaedic implants.
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Appendix

Figures with essential color discrimination. Figs. 1, 3–17 of this article are difficult to interpret in black and white. The full color images can be found in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2009.11.111.